

# MASTERCARD INC

## FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 05/03/11 for the Period Ending 03/31/11

Address	2000 PURCHASE STREET PURCHASE, NY 10577
Telephone	9142492000
CIK	0001141391
Symbol	MA
SIC Code	7389 - Business Services, Not Elsewhere Classified
Industry	Consumer Financial Services
Sector	Financial
Fiscal Year	12/31

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

---

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

Or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from                      to

Commission file number: 001-32877

**MasterCard Incorporated**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**13-4172551**  
(IRS Employer  
Identification Number)

**2000 Purchase Street Purchase, NY**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**10577**  
(Zip Code)

**(914) 249-2000**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes ☐ No ☒

As of April 28, 2011, there were 120,665,389 shares outstanding of the registrant's Class A common stock, par value \$.0001 per share and 6,575,005 shares outstanding of the registrant's Class B common stock, par value \$.0001 per share.

---

---

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**FORM 10-Q**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)</b>	
Consolidated Balance Sheet — March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	3
Consolidated Statement of Operations — Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	4
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows — Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	5
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity — Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	6
Consolidated Condensed Statement of Comprehensive Income — Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
<b>ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</b>	33
<b>ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</b>	49
<b>ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</b>	49
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	50
<b>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</b>	51
<b>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</b>	51
<b>ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</b>	51
<b>ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</b>	51
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	52

## Table of Contents

### PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

##### MASTERCARD INCORPORATED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in millions, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,954	\$ 3,067
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value	835	831
Investment securities held-to-maturity	150	300
Accounts receivable	642	650
Settlement due from customers	393	497
Restricted security deposits held for customers	576	493
Prepaid expenses	265	315
Deferred income taxes	174	216
Other current assets	84	85
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>6,073</b>	<b>6,454</b>
Property, plant and equipment, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation	436	439
Deferred income taxes	13	5
Goodwill	710	677
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$501 and \$475, respectively	539	530
Auction rate securities available-for-sale, at fair value	93	106
Investment securities held-to-maturity	36	36
Prepaid expenses	365	365
Other assets	237	225
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 8,502</b>	<b>\$ 8,837</b>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Accounts payable	\$ 283	\$ 272
Settlement due to customers	405	636
Restricted security deposits held for customers	576	493
Obligations under litigation settlements	152	298
Accrued expenses	1,196	1,315
Other current liabilities	179	129
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>2,791</b>	<b>3,143</b>
Deferred income taxes	95	74
Obligations under litigation settlements	4	4
Other liabilities	410	400
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>3,300</b>	<b>3,621</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies</b>		
<b>Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Class A common stock, \$.0001 par value; authorized 3,000,000,000 shares, 131,114,663 and 129,436,818 shares issued and 121,755,264 and 122,696,228 outstanding, respectively	—	—
Class B common stock, \$.0001 par value; authorized 1,200,000,000 shares, 6,785,337 and 8,202,380 issued and outstanding, respectively	—	—
Additional paid-in-capital	3,435	3,445
Class A treasury stock, at cost, 9,359,399 and 6,740,590 shares, respectively	(1,902)	(1,250)
Retained earnings	3,457	2,915
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustments	210	105
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, net of tax	(12)	(12)
Investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax	3	2
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	201	95
<b>Total Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>5,191</b>	<b>5,205</b>
Non-controlling interests	11	11
<b>Total Equity</b>	<b>5,202</b>	<b>5,216</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Equity</b>	<b>\$ 8,502</b>	<b>\$ 8,837</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions, except per share data)	
<b>Revenues, net</b>	\$ 1,501	\$ 1,308
<b>Operating Expenses</b>		
General and administrative	494	458
Advertising and marketing	129	115
Depreciation and amortization	42	35
Total operating expenses	665	608
Operating income	836	700
<b>Other Income (Expense)</b>		
Investment income	12	10
Interest expense	(10)	(15)
Other income (expense), net	(2)	—
Total other income (expense)	—	(5)
Income before income taxes	836	695
Income tax expense	274	240
Net income	562	455
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	—	—
<b>Net Income Attributable to MasterCard</b>	<b>\$ 562</b>	<b>\$ 455</b>
<b>Basic Earnings per Share</b>	<b>\$ 4.31</b>	<b>\$ 3.47</b>
<b>Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Diluted Earnings per Share</b>	<b>\$ 4.29</b>	<b>\$ 3.46</b>
<b>Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>131</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<b>(in millions)</b>	
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 562	\$ 455
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	42	35
Share based payments	15	16
Stock units withheld for taxes	(32)	(122)
Tax benefit for share based compensation	(7)	(91)
Accretion of imputed interest on litigation settlements	4	11
Deferred income taxes	55	49
Other	2	3
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(2)	19
Settlement due from customers	122	29
Prepaid expenses	60	4
Obligations under litigation settlements	(150)	(150)
Accounts payable	8	(36)
Settlement due to customers	(259)	(21)
Accrued expenses	(132)	(134)
Net change in other assets and liabilities	67	28
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>355</u>	<u>95</u>
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(10)	(3)
Capitalized software	(15)	(17)
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(15)	(33)
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	10	20
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available-for-sale	15	11
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity	150	—
Investment in nonmarketable equity investments	—	(1)
Net cash provided by (used in) in investing activities	<u>135</u>	<u>(23)</u>
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Purchases of treasury stock	(654)	—
Dividends paid	(20)	(20)
Tax benefit for share based compensation	7	91
Cash proceeds from exercise of stock options	2	6
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(665)</u>	<u>77</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	62	(85)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(113)	64
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	3,067	2,055
Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	<u>\$ 2,954</u>	<u>\$ 2,119</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

		<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional</u>	<u>Class A</u>	<u>Retained</u>	<u>Accumulated</u>	<u>Non-</u>
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Class A</u>	<u>Class B</u>	<u>Paid-In</u>	<u>Treasury</u>	<u>Earnings</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Controlling</u>
				<u>Capital</u>	<u>Stock</u>		<u>Income,</u>	<u>Interests</u>
				(in millions, except per share data)			<u>Net of Tax</u>	
<b>Balance at December 31, 2010</b>	\$5,216	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,445	\$(1,250)	\$ 2,915	\$ 95	\$ 11
Net income	562	—	—	—	—	562	—	—
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	106	—	—	—	—	—	106	—
Cash dividends declared on Class A and Class B common stock, \$0.15 per share	(20)	—	—	—	—	(20)	—	—
Purchases of treasury stock	(654)	—	—	—	(654)	—	—	—
Issuance of treasury stock for share based compensation	—	—	—	(2)	2	—	—	—
Share based payments	15	—	—	15	—	—	—	—
Stock units withheld for taxes	(32)	—	—	(32)	—	—	—	—
Tax benefit for share based compensation	7	—	—	7	—	—	—	—
Exercise of stock options	2	—	—	2	—	—	—	—
<b>Balance at March 31, 2011</b>	<u>\$5,202</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 3,435</u>	<u>\$(1,902)</u>	<u>\$ 3,457</u>	<u>\$ 201</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	<u>March 31,</u>	
	<u>(in millions)</u>	
<b>Net Income</b>	\$ 562	\$ 455
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	105	(105)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans, net of tax	—	—
Unrealized gain (loss) and reclassification adjustment for realized (gain) loss on investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax	1	(1)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	106	(106)
<b>Comprehensive Income</b>	668	349
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	—	—
<b>Comprehensive Income Attributable to MasterCard</b>	<u>\$ 668</u>	<u>\$ 349</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)**

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*****Organization***

MasterCard Incorporated and its consolidated subsidiaries, including MasterCard International Incorporated (“MasterCard International”) (together, “MasterCard” or the “Company”), provide payment solutions, including transaction processing and related services to customers principally in support of their credit, deposit access (debit), prepaid, electronic cash and Automated Teller Machine (“ATM”) payment card programs, and travelers cheque programs. Our financial institution customers are generally either principal members (“principal members”) of MasterCard International, which participate directly in MasterCard International’s business, or affiliate members (“affiliate members”) of MasterCard International, which participate indirectly in MasterCard International’s business through a principal member.

***Consolidation and basis of presentation***

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MasterCard and its majority-owned and controlled entities, including any variable interest entities for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation. The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”).

The balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010. The consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and as of March 31, 2011 are unaudited, and in the opinion of management, include all normal recurring adjustments that are necessary to present fairly the results for interim periods. Due to seasonal fluctuations and other factors, the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission requirements of Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and, consequently, do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP. Reference should be made to the MasterCard Incorporated Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional disclosures, including a summary of the Company’s significant accounting policies.

***Recent accounting pronouncements***

***Revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables*** - In September 2009, the accounting standard for the allocation of revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables was amended. Existing accounting standards required companies to allocate revenue based on the fair value of each deliverable, even though such deliverables may not be sold separately either by the company itself or other vendors. The new accounting standard eliminates (i) the residual method of revenue allocation and (ii) the requirement that all undelivered elements must have objective and reliable evidence of fair value before a company can recognize the portion of the overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. The Company adopted the revised accounting standard effective January 1, 2011 via prospective adoption. The adoption did not have an impact on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

***Fair value disclosures*** - The Company measures certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis by estimating the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When valuing liabilities, the Company also considers the Company’s creditworthiness. The Company classifies these recurring fair value measurements into a three-level hierarchy (“Valuation Hierarchy”) and discloses the significant assumptions utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. In January 2010, fair value disclosure requirements were amended to require detailed disclosures about transfers to and from Level 1 and 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy effective January 1, 2010 and disclosures regarding purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a “gross” basis within the Level 3 (of the Valuation Hierarchy) reconciliation effective January 1, 2011. The Company adopted the new guidance for

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

disclosures about transfers to and from Level 1 and 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy effective January 1, 2010. The adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company adopted the guidance that requires disclosure of a reconciliation of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a "gross" basis within Level 3 (of the Valuation Hierarchy) effective January 1, 2011, as required, and the adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

*Impairment testing for goodwill* - In December 2010, a new accounting standard was issued. This standard requires Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test to be performed for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts if qualitative factors indicate that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. The provisions for this pronouncement are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, with no early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this accounting standard on January 1, 2011, and the adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

*Business combinations* - In December 2010, a new accounting standard was issued. This standard requires a company to disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period, only when comparative financial statements are presented. The disclosure provisions are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this accounting standard on January 1, 2011 for prospective application. The adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**Note 2. Acquisition of Card Program Management Operations**

On December 9, 2010, MasterCard entered into an agreement to acquire the prepaid card program management operations of Travelex Holdings Ltd. ("CPM"). Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, the Company acquired CPM on April 15, 2011 at a purchase price of approximately 295 million U.K. pound sterling, or approximately \$481 million, including adjustments for working capital, with contingent consideration (an "earn-out") of up to an additional 35 million U.K. pound sterling, or approximately \$57 million, if certain performance targets are met.

CPM manages and delivers consumer and corporate prepaid travel cards through business partners around the world, including financial institutions, retailers, travel agents and foreign exchange bureaus. The acquisition of CPM is an expansion of MasterCard's business into program management services. The acquisition is intended to enable the Company to offer end-to-end prepaid card solutions encompassing branded switching, issuing, processing and program management services, initially focused on the travel sector and in markets outside the United States.

The CPM acquisition was completed on April 15, 2011 through MasterCard's purchase of Travelex Card Services Limited ("TCSL") and its subsidiaries and all CPM contracts outside of TCSL. The purchase accounting will be completed in the second quarter of 2011. Accordingly, the Company has not yet allocated the purchase price to the assets and liabilities acquired.

**Note 3. Earnings Per Share**

Earnings per share ("EPS") is calculated including the effects of certain instruments granted in share-based payment transactions under the two-class method. Unvested share-based payment awards which receive non-forfeitable dividend rights, or dividend equivalents, are considered participating securities and are required to be included in computing EPS under the two-class method. The Company declared non-forfeitable dividends on unvested restricted stock units and contingently issuable performance stock units ("Unvested Units") which were granted prior to 2009.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

The components of basic and diluted EPS for common shares were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions, except per share data)	
<b>Numerator:</b>		
Net income attributable to MasterCard	\$ 562	\$ 455
Less: Net income allocated to Unvested Units	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
Net income attributable to MasterCard allocated to common shares	<u>\$ 561</u>	<u>\$ 453</u>
<b>Denominator:</b>		
Basic EPS weighted average shares outstanding	130	130
Dilutive stock options and stock units	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Diluted EPS weighted average shares outstanding	<u>131</u>	<u>131</u>
<b>Earnings per Share</b>		
Total Basic	<u>\$ 4.31</u>	<u>\$ 3.47</u>
Total Diluted	<u>\$ 4.29</u>	<u>\$ 3.46</u>

The calculation of diluted EPS for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 excluded the following share-based payment awards because the effect would be antidilutive:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Stock options	277	199

**Note 4. Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities**

The following table includes non-cash investing and financing information for the three month periods ended March 31:

	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Dividends declared but not yet paid	\$ 20	\$ 20
Software licenses financed	—	10
Assets recorded pursuant to capital lease	(3)	—
Capital lease obligation	3	—

Effective March 1, 2009, MasterCard executed a new ten-year lease between MasterCard, as tenant, and the Missouri Development Finance Board (“MDFB”), as landlord, for MasterCard’s global technology and operations center located in O’Fallon, Missouri, called Winghaven. The lease includes a bargain purchase option and is thus classified as a capital lease. The building and land assets and capital lease obligation have been recorded at \$154 million, which represents the lesser of the present value of the minimum lease payments and the fair value of the building and land assets. The Company received refunding revenue bonds issued by MDFB in the exact amount, \$154 million, and with the same payment terms as the capital lease and which contain the legal right of setoff with the capital lease. The Company has netted its investment in the MDFB refunding revenue bonds and the corresponding capital lease obligation in the consolidated balance sheet.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

**Note 5. Fair Value**

***Financial Instruments – Recurring Measurements***

In accordance with accounting requirements for financial instruments, the Company is disclosing the estimated fair values as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 of the financial instruments that are within the scope of the accounting guidance, as well as the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of those financial instruments. Furthermore, the Company classifies its fair value measurements in the Valuation Hierarchy. No transfers were made among the three levels in the Valuation Hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The distribution of the Company's financial instruments which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis within the Valuation Hierarchy was as follows:

	March 31, 2011			Fair Value
	Quoted Prices	Significant Other	Significant	
	in Active	Observable	Unobservable	
	Markets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	
		(in millions)		
Municipal bonds <sup>1</sup>	\$ —	\$ 316	\$ —	\$316
Taxable short-term bond funds	519	—	—	519
Auction rate securities	—	—	93	93
Foreign currency derivative contracts	—	(5)	—	(5)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 519</b>	<b>\$ 311</b>	<b>\$ 93</b>	<b>\$923</b>

  

	December 31, 2010			Fair Value
	Quoted Prices	Significant Other	Significant	
	in Active	Observable	Unobservable	
	Markets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	
		(in millions)		
Municipal bonds <sup>1</sup>	\$ —	\$ 315	\$ —	\$315
Taxable short-term bond funds	516	—	—	516
Auction rate securities	—	—	106	106
Foreign currency derivative contracts	—	(1)	—	(1)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 516</b>	<b>\$ 314</b>	<b>\$ 106</b>	<b>\$936</b>

<sup>1</sup> Available-for-sale municipal bonds are carried at fair value and are included in the above tables. However, held-to-maturity municipal bonds are carried at amortized cost and excluded from the above tables.

The fair value of the Company's available-for-sale municipal bonds are based on quoted prices for similar assets in active markets and are therefore included in Level 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy.

The fair value of the Company's short-term bond funds are based on quoted prices for identical investments in active markets and are therefore included in Level 1 of the Valuation Hierarchy.

The Company's auction rate securities ("ARS") investments have been classified within Level 3 of the Valuation Hierarchy as their valuation requires substantial judgment and estimation of factors that are not currently observable in the market due to the lack of trading in the securities. This valuation may be revised in future periods as market conditions evolve. The Company has considered the lack of liquidity in the ARS market and the lack of comparable, orderly transactions when estimating the fair value of its ARS portfolio. Therefore, the Company used the income approach, which included a discounted cash flow analysis of the estimated future cash flows adjusted by a risk premium for the ARS portfolio, to estimate the fair value of its ARS portfolio. The Company estimated the fair value of its ARS portfolio to be a 10% discount to the par value as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable parameters to the overall fair value measurement. However, the fair value determination for Level 3 financial instruments may include observable components.

The Company's foreign currency derivative contracts have been classified within Level 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy, as the fair value is based on broker quotes for the same or similar derivative instruments. See Note 20 (Foreign Exchange Risk Management) for further details.

***Financial Instruments – Non-Recurring Measurements***

Certain financial instruments are carried on the consolidated balance sheet at cost, which approximates fair value due to their short-term, highly liquid nature. These instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, settlement due from customers, restricted security deposits held for customers, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, settlement due to customers and accrued expenses.

***Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity***

The Company utilizes quoted prices for similar securities from active markets to estimate the fair value of its held-to-maturity securities. See Note 6 (Investment Securities) for fair value disclosure.

***Debt***

The Company estimates the fair value of its debt by applying a current period discount rate to the remaining cash flows under the terms of the debt. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying values on the consolidated balance sheet totaled \$21 million and \$20 million, respectively, and approximated fair value. The carrying value of the current portion of the Company's debt is included in other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

***Obligations Under Litigation Settlements***

The Company estimates the fair value of its obligations under litigation settlements by applying a current period discount rate to the remaining cash flows under the terms of the litigation settlements. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying values on the consolidated balance sheet totaled \$156 million and \$302 million and the fair values totaled \$157 million and \$307 million, respectively, for these obligations. For additional information regarding the Company's obligations under litigation settlements, see Note 16 (Obligations Under Litigation Settlements).

***Settlement and Other Guarantee Liabilities***

The Company estimates the fair value of its settlement and other guarantees by applying market assumptions for relevant though not directly comparable undertakings, as the latter are not observable in the market given the proprietary nature of such guarantees. Additionally, loss probability and severity profiles against the Company's gross and net settlement exposures are considered. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying value of settlement and other guarantee liabilities were de minimis. The estimated fair values of settlement and other guarantee liabilities as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were approximately \$55 million and \$45 million, respectively. For additional information regarding the Company's settlement and other guarantee liabilities, see Note 19 (Settlement and Other Risk Management).

***Refunding Revenue Bonds***

The Company holds refunding revenue bonds with the same payment terms, and which contain the right of set-off with a capital lease obligation related to the Company's global technology and operations center located in O'Fallon, Missouri, called Winghaven. The Company has netted the refunding revenue bonds and the corresponding capital lease obligation in the consolidated balance sheet and estimates that the carrying value approximates the fair value for these bonds. See Note 9 (Property, Plant and Equipment) for further details.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

***Non-Financial Instruments***

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The Company's non-financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include property, plant and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets. These assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis; however, they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment.

The valuation methods for goodwill and other intangible assets involve assumptions concerning comparable company multiples, discount rates, growth projections and other assumptions of future business conditions. The Company uses a weighted income and market approach for estimating the fair values of its reporting units. As the assumptions employed to measure these assets on a nonrecurring basis are based on management's judgment using internal and external data, these fair value determinations are classified in Level 3 of the Valuation Hierarchy.

**Note 6. Investment Securities**

***Amortized Costs and Fair Values – Available-for-Sale Investment Securities:***

The major classes of the Company's available-for-sale investment securities, for which unrealized gains and losses are recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income on the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, and their respective cost bases and fair values as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	March 31, 2011			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair Value
	Cost	Gain	Loss <sup>1</sup>	
		(in millions)		
Municipal bonds	\$ 308	\$ 9	\$ (1)	\$316
Taxable short-term bond funds	513	6	—	519
Auction rate securities	103	—	(10)	93
Total	<u>\$ 924</u>	<u>\$ 15</u>	<u>\$ (11)</u>	<u>\$928</u>

  

	December 31, 2010			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair Value
	Cost	Gain	Loss <sup>1</sup>	
		(in millions)		
Municipal bonds	\$ 305	\$ 10	\$ —	\$315
Taxable short-term bond funds	511	5	—	516
Auction rate securities	118	—	(12)	106
Total	<u>\$ 934</u>	<u>\$ 15</u>	<u>\$ (12)</u>	<u>\$937</u>

<sup>1</sup> The unrealized losses primarily relate to ARS, which have been in an unrealized loss position longer than 12 months, but have not been deemed other-than-temporarily impaired.

The municipal bond portfolio is comprised of tax exempt bonds and is diversified across states and sectors. The portfolio has an average credit quality of double-A.

The short-term bond funds invest in fixed income securities, including corporate bonds, mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities.

The Company holds investments in ARS. Interest on these securities is exempt from U.S. federal income tax and the interest rate on the securities typically resets every 35 days. The securities are fully collateralized by student loans with guarantees (ranging from approximately 95% to 98% of principal and interest) by the U.S. government via the Department of Education.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

Beginning on February 11, 2008, the auction mechanism that normally provided liquidity to the ARS investments began to fail. Since mid-February 2008, all investment positions in the Company's ARS investment portfolio have experienced failed auctions. The securities for which auctions have failed have continued to pay interest in accordance with the contractual terms of such instruments and will continue to accrue interest and be auctioned at each respective reset date until the auction succeeds, the issuer redeems the securities or they mature. As of March 31, 2011, the ARS market remained illiquid, but issuer call and redemption activity in the ARS student loan sector has occurred periodically since the auctions began to fail. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company did not sell any ARS in the auction market, but there were calls at par.

The table below includes a roll-forward of the Company's ARS investments from January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011.

	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) (in millions)</b>
Fair value, January 1, 2011	\$ 106
Calls, at par	(15)
Recovery of unrealized losses due to issuer calls	2
Fair value, March 31, 2011	<u>\$ 93</u>

The Company evaluated the estimated impairment of its ARS portfolio to determine if it was other-than-temporary. The Company considered several factors including, but not limited to, the following: (1) the reasons for the decline in value (changes in interest rates, credit event, or market fluctuations); (2) assessments as to whether it is more likely than not that it will hold and not be required to sell the investments for a sufficient period of time to allow for recovery of the cost basis; (3) whether the decline is substantial; and (4) the historical and anticipated duration of the events causing the decline in value. The evaluation for other-than-temporary impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to various risks and uncertainties. The risks and uncertainties include changes in credit quality, market liquidity, timing and amounts of issuer calls, and interest rates. As of March 31, 2011, the Company believed that the unrealized losses on the ARS were not related to credit quality but rather due to the lack of liquidity in the market. The Company believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will hold and not be required to sell its ARS investments until recovery of their cost bases which may be at maturity or earlier if called. Therefore, MasterCard does not consider the unrealized losses to be other-than-temporary. The Company estimated a 10% discount to the par value of the ARS portfolio at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The pre-tax impairment included in accumulated other comprehensive income related to the Company's ARS was \$10 million and \$12 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. A hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in the discount rate used in the discounted cash flow analysis would have increased the impairment by \$2 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

*Carrying and Fair Values – Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities:*

As of March 31, 2011, the Company also owned held-to-maturity investment securities, which consisted of U.S. Treasury notes and a municipal bond yielding interest at 5.0% per annum. The bond relates to the Company's back-up processing center in Kansas City, Missouri. The carrying value, gross unrecorded gains and fair value of these held-to-maturity investment securities were as follows:

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
	<b>(in millions)</b>	
Carrying value	\$ 186	\$ 336
Gross unrecorded gains	2	2
Fair value	<u>\$ 188</u>	<u>\$ 338</u>



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

*Investment Maturities:*

The maturity distribution based on the contractual terms of the Company's investment securities at March 31, 2011 was as follows:

	Available-For-Sale		Held-To-Maturity	
	Amortized		Carrying	
	Cost	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Due within 1 year	\$ 16	\$ 16	\$ 150	\$ 150
Due after 1 year through 5 years	238	245	36	38
Due after 5 years through 10 years	58	59	—	—
Due after 10 years	99	89	—	—
No contractual maturity	513	519	—	—
Total	<u>\$ 924</u>	<u>\$ 928</u>	<u>\$ 186</u>	<u>\$ 188</u>

All the securities due after ten years are ARS. Taxable short-term bond funds have been included in the table above in the no contractual maturity category, as these investments do not have a stated maturity date; however, the short-term bond funds have daily liquidity.

The table below summarizes the maturity ranges of the ARS portfolio, based on relative par value, as of March 31, 2011:

	Par Amount	% of Total
	(in millions)	
Due within 10 years	\$ 4	4%
Due year 11 through year 20	1	1%
Due year 21 through year 30	81	78%
Due after year 30	17	17%
Total	<u>\$ 103</u>	<u>100%</u>

*Investment Income:*

Investment income was \$12 million and \$10 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. It primarily consisted of interest income generated from cash, cash equivalents, investment securities available-for-sale and investment securities held-to-maturity. Dividend income and gross realized gains and losses were not significant.

**Note 7. Prepaid Expenses**

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following:

	March 31,	December 31,
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Customer and merchant incentives	\$ 495	\$ 497
Advertising	69	69
Income taxes	—	50
Data processing	34	31
Other	32	33
Total prepaid expenses	630	680
Prepaid expenses, current	(265)	(315)
Prepaid expenses, long-term	<u>\$ 365</u>	<u>\$ 365</u>

Prepaid customer and merchant incentives represent payments made to customers and merchants under business agreements.



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

**Note 8. Other Assets**

Other assets consisted of the following:

	March 31,	December 31,
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(in millions)	(in millions)
Nonmarketable equity investments	\$ 108	\$ 107
Customer and merchant incentives	101	104
Income tax receivable	51	50
Cash surrender value of keyman life insurance	26	24
Other	35	25
Total other assets	321	310
Other assets, current	(84)	(85)
Other assets, long-term	<u>\$ 237</u>	<u>\$ 225</u>

Certain customer and merchant business agreements provide incentives upon entering into the agreement. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, other assets included amounts to be paid for these incentives and the related liability was included in accrued expenses and other liabilities. Once the payment is made, the liability is relieved and the other asset is reclassified to a prepaid expense.

The Company accounts for investments in common stock or in-substance common stock under the equity method of accounting when it has the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee, generally when it holds 20% or more of the common stock in the entity. MasterCard's share of net earnings or losses of entities accounted for under the equity method of accounting is included in other income (expense) on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company accounts for investments under the historical cost method of accounting when it does not exercise significant influence, generally when it holds less than 20% ownership in the common stock of the entity. Investments for which the equity method or historical cost method of accounting are used are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

**Note 9. Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

	March 31,	December 31,
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(in millions)	(in millions)
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 791	\$ 771
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(355)	(332)
Property, plant and equipment, net	<u>\$ 436</u>	<u>\$ 439</u>

The Company leases its global technology and operations center located in O'Fallon, Missouri, called Winghaven. The lease includes a bargain purchase option and is thus classified as a capital lease. The building and land assets and capital lease obligation were recorded at \$154 million, which represented the lesser of the present value of the minimum lease payments and the fair value of the building and land assets. The Company received refunding revenue bonds issued by MDFB in the exact amount, \$154 million, and with the same payment terms as the capital lease and which contain the legal right of setoff with the capital lease. The Company has netted its investment in the MDFB refunding revenue bonds and the corresponding capital lease obligation in the consolidated balance sheet. The related leasehold improvements for Winghaven will continue to be amortized over the economic life of the improvements.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, capital leases, excluding the Winghaven facility, of \$16 million and \$13 million, respectively, were included in equipment. Accumulated amortization of these capital leases was \$9 million and \$7 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Depreciation expense for the above property, plant and equipment, including amortization for capital leases, was \$18 million and \$16 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

**Note 10. Goodwill**

Goodwill was \$710 million and \$677 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was due to foreign currency translation.

**Note 11. Accrued Expenses**

Accrued expenses consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in millions)	
Customer and merchant incentives	\$ 706	\$ 666
Personnel costs	180	307
Advertising	71	162
Income taxes	132	76
Other	107	104
Total accrued expenses	<u>\$ 1,196</u>	<u>\$ 1,315</u>

**Note 12. Pension and Postretirement Plans**

The Company maintains a non-contributory, qualified, defined benefit pension plan (the “Qualified Plan”) with a cash balance feature covering substantially all of its U.S. employees hired before July 1, 2007. In September 2010, the Company amended the Qualified Plan to phase out participant pay credit percentages in the years 2011 and 2012 and eliminate the pay credit beginning January 1, 2013. Plan participants will continue to earn interest credits.

Additionally, the Company has an unfunded non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan (the “Non-qualified Plan”) that provides certain key employees with supplemental retirement benefits in excess of limits imposed on qualified plans by U.S. tax laws. The term “Pension Plans” includes both the Qualified Plan and the Non-qualified Plan. The net periodic pension cost for the Pension Plans was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Service cost	\$ 4	\$ 4
Interest cost	3	3
Expected return on plan assets	(5)	(4)
Amortization:		
Actuarial loss	1	1
Prior service credit	(1)	(1)
Net periodic pension cost	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>

The Company made voluntary contributions totaling \$3 million and \$5 million to the Qualified Plan during the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company maintains a postretirement plan (the “Postretirement Plan”) providing health coverage and life insurance benefits for substantially all of its U.S. employees and retirees hired before July 1, 2007. Net periodic postretirement benefit cost was \$1 million for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The cost included amounts for interest cost, service cost and amortization of the transition obligation partially offset by the amortization of the actuarial gain. The majority of the cost represented interest cost. The Company does not make any contributions to its Postretirement Plan other than funding benefits payments.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)****Note 13. Share Based Payment and Other Benefits**

On March 1, 2011, the Company granted approximately 199 thousand restricted stock units, 164 thousand stock options and 26 thousand performance units under the MasterCard Incorporated 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (“LTIP”). The fair value of the restricted stock units and performance stock units, based on the closing price of the Class A common stock, par value \$.0001 per share, on the New York Stock Exchange on March 1, 2011, was \$240.36. The fair value of the stock options estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model was \$89.01. Vesting of the shares underlying the restricted stock units and performance stock units will occur on February 28, 2014. The stock options vest in four equal annual installments beginning on March 1, 2012, and have a term of ten years. The Company also makes certain off-cycle grants throughout the year. Compensation expense is recorded net of estimated forfeitures over the shorter of the vesting period or the date the individual becomes eligible to retire under the LTIP. The Company uses the straight-line method of attribution over the requisite service period for expensing equity awards.

With regard to the performance stock units granted on March 1, 2011, whether or not the performance stock units vest will be based on MasterCard’s performance against a predetermined return on equity goal, with an average of return on equity over the three-year period commencing January 1, 2011 yielding threshold, target or maximum performance, with a potential adjustment determined at the discretion of the MasterCard Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors using subjective quantitative and qualitative goals expected to be established at the beginning of each year in the performance period from 2011 through 2013. These goals are expected to include MasterCard performance against internal management metrics and external relative metrics.

These performance units have been classified as equity awards, will be settled by delivering stock to the employees and contain service and performance conditions. The initial fair value of each performance stock unit is the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of the Company’s Class A common stock on the date of grant. Given that the performance terms are subjective and not fixed on the date of grant, the performance stock units will be remeasured at the end of each reporting period, at fair value, until the time the performance conditions are fixed and the ultimate number of shares to be issued is determined. Estimates are adjusted as appropriate. Compensation expense is calculated using the number of performance units expected to vest; multiplied by the period ending price of a share of MasterCard’s Class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange; less previously recorded compensation expense.

**Note 14. Stockholders’ Equity**

Commencing on May 31, 2010, the fourth anniversary of the Company’s initial public offering, each share of Class B common stock became eligible for conversion, at the holder’s option, into a share of Class A common stock on a one for one basis. In June 2010, the Company commenced a conversion program (approved by the Board of Directors in February 2010) for shares of Class B common stock to be converted on a one-for-one basis into shares of Class A common stock for subsequent sale or transfer to public investors. The program features an “open window” for conversions of any size. As of March 31, 2011, 6,785,337 shares of Class B common stock had not been converted into shares of Class A common stock and remained outstanding (representing 5.3% of aggregate shares outstanding).

In September 2010, the Company’s Board of Directors authorized a plan for the Company to repurchase up to \$1 billion of its Class A common stock in open market transactions. The Company did not repurchase any shares under this plan during 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, MasterCard repurchased a total of approximately 2.6 million shares, for an aggregate of \$654 million and at an average price of \$248.51 per share of Class A common stock. These repurchased shares were recorded as treasury stock, which is a reduction to stockholders’ equity.

In April 2011, the Company’s Board of Directors amended the existing share repurchase program, authorizing the Company to repurchase an incremental \$1 billion of its Class A common stock in open market transactions. The incremental \$1 billion share repurchase authorization increases the Class A share repurchase program, announced in September 2010, to an aggregate of \$2 billion.

# **MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

## **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

As of April 28, 2011, the Company had completed the repurchase of approximately 3.9 million shares of its Class A common stock at a cumulative cost of approximately \$1 billion.

### **Note 15. Commitments**

On December 9, 2010, MasterCard entered into an agreement to acquire CPM. This acquisition was consummated on April 15, 2011. See Note 2 (Acquisition of Card Program Management Operations) for additional discussion regarding the acquisition of CPM.

At March 31, 2011, in addition to the commitment to purchase CPM, the Company had the following future minimum payments due under non-cancelable agreements:

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Capital Leases</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Operating Leases</u> (in millions)	<u>Sponsorship, Licensing &amp; Other</u>
Remainder of 2011	\$228	\$ 3	\$ 22	\$ 203
2012	178	5	26	147
2013	92	40	15	37
2014	27	—	11	16
2015	14	—	9	5
Thereafter	16	—	15	1
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>\$555</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 48</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 98</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 409</u></b>

<sup>1</sup> Excludes non-cash transactions relating to the Company's Winghaven facility. See Note 4 (Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities) for more information.

Included in the table above are capital leases with imputed interest expense of \$4 million and a net present value of minimum lease payments of \$44 million. In addition, at March 31, 2011, \$43 million of the future minimum payments in the table above for operating leases, sponsorship, licensing and other agreements was accrued. Consolidated rental expense for the Company's leased office space, which is recognized on a straight line basis over the life of the lease, was \$7 million for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Consolidated lease expense for automobiles, computer equipment and office equipment was \$2 million for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

### **Note 16. Obligations Under Litigation Settlements**

On June 24, 2008, MasterCard entered into a settlement agreement (the "American Express Settlement") with American Express Company ("American Express") relating to the U.S. federal antitrust litigation between MasterCard and American Express. The American Express Settlement ended all existing litigation between MasterCard and American Express. Under the terms of the American Express Settlement, MasterCard is obligated to make 12 quarterly payments of up to \$150 million per quarter beginning in the third quarter of 2008. MasterCard's maximum nominal payments will total \$1.8 billion. The amount of each quarterly payment is contingent on the performance of American Express's U.S. Global Network Services business. The quarterly payments will be in an amount equal to 15% of American Express's U.S. Global Network Services billings during the quarter, up to a maximum of \$150 million per quarter. If, however, the payment for any quarter is less than \$150 million, the maximum payment for subsequent quarters will be increased by the difference between \$150 million and the lesser amount that was paid in any quarter in which there was a shortfall. MasterCard assumes American Express will achieve these financial hurdles. MasterCard recorded the present value of \$1.8 billion, at a 5.75% discount rate, or \$1.6 billion in the quarter ended June 30, 2008. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has one quarterly payment of \$150 million remaining.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

Total liabilities for the American Express Settlement and other litigation settlements changed from December 31, 2010, as follows:

	(in millions)
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ 302
Interest accretion on American Express Settlement	4
Payments on American Express Settlement	(150)
Other payments, accruals and accretion, net	—
Balance as of March 31, 2011	<u>\$ 156</u>

See Note 18 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) for additional discussion regarding the Company’s legal proceedings.

**Note 17. Income Taxes**

The effective income tax rates were 32.8% and 34.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was lower than the rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010 due primarily to a more favorable geographic mix of earnings and benefits recognized during the quarter with regard to the anticipated repatriation from a foreign subsidiary, partially offset by unrecognized tax benefits relating to positions taken during the current and prior periods.

**Note 18. Legal and Regulatory Proceedings**

MasterCard is a party to legal and regulatory proceedings with respect to a variety of matters in the ordinary course of business. Some of these proceedings involve complex claims that are subject to substantial uncertainties and unascertainable damages. Therefore, the probability of loss and an estimation of damages are not possible to ascertain at present. While these types of contingencies are generally resolved over long periods of time, the probability of loss or an estimation of damages can change due to discrete or a combination of developments, which could result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. Except as discussed below, MasterCard has not established reserves for any of these proceedings. MasterCard has recorded liabilities for certain legal proceedings which have been settled through contractual agreements. Except as described below, MasterCard does not believe that any legal or regulatory proceedings to which it is a party would have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. Although MasterCard believes that it has strong defenses for the pending litigations and regulatory proceedings described below, it could in the future incur judgments and/or fines, enter into settlements of claims or be required to change its business practices in ways that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows. Notwithstanding MasterCard’s belief, in the event it were found liable in a large class-action lawsuit or on the basis of a claim in the United States entitling the plaintiff to treble damages or under which it were jointly and severally liable, charges it may be required to record could be significant and could materially and adversely affect its results of operations, cash flow and financial condition, or, in certain circumstances, even cause MasterCard to become insolvent. Moreover, an adverse outcome in a regulatory proceeding could result in fines and/or lead to the filing of civil damage claims and possibly result in damage awards in amounts that could be significant and could materially and adversely affect the Company’s results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

**Department of Justice Antitrust Litigation and Related Private Litigations**

In October 1998, the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) filed suit against MasterCard International, Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Visa International Corp. in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that both MasterCard’s and Visa’s governance structure and policies violated U.S. federal antitrust laws. First, the DOJ claimed that “dual governance”—the situation where a financial institution has a representative on the Board of Directors of MasterCard or Visa while a portion of its card portfolio is issued under the brand of the other association—was anti-competitive and acted to limit innovation within the payment card industry. Second, the DOJ challenged MasterCard’s Competitive Programs Policy (“CPP”) and a Visa bylaw provision that prohibited financial institutions participating in the respective associations from issuing competing proprietary payment cards (such as American Express or Discover). The DOJ alleged that MasterCard’s CPP and Visa’s bylaw provision acted to restrain competition.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

In October 2001, District Court Judge Barbara Jones issued an opinion upholding the legality and pro-competitive nature of dual governance. However, the judge also held that MasterCard's CPP and the Visa bylaw constituted unlawful restraints of trade under the federal antitrust laws. In November 2001, the judge issued a final judgment that ordered MasterCard to repeal the CPP insofar as it applies to issuers and enjoined MasterCard from enacting or enforcing any bylaw, rule, policy or practice that prohibits its issuers from issuing general purpose credit or debit cards in the United States on any other general purpose card network. The Second Circuit upheld the final judgment and the Supreme Court denied certiorari.

Shortly after the Supreme Court's denial of certiorari, both American Express and Discover Financial Services, Inc. filed complaints against MasterCard and Visa in which they alleged that the implementation and enforcement of MasterCard's CPP and Visa's bylaw provision violated U.S. federal antitrust laws. In June 2008, MasterCard entered into a settlement agreement with American Express to resolve all current litigation between American Express and MasterCard. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, MasterCard is obligated to make twelve quarterly payments of up to \$150 million per quarter with the first payment having been made in September 2008. See Note 16 (Obligations under Litigation Settlements) for additional discussion. In October 2008, MasterCard and Visa entered into a settlement agreement with Discover (the "Discover Settlement"), ending all litigation between the parties for a total of approximately \$2.8 billion. The MasterCard share of the settlement, paid to Discover in November 2008, was approximately \$863 million. In addition, in connection with the Discover Settlement and pursuant to a separate agreement, Morgan Stanley, Discover's former parent company, paid MasterCard \$35 million in November 2008.

In April 2005, a complaint was filed in California state court on behalf of a putative class of consumers under California unfair competition law (Section 17200) and the Cartwright Act (the "Attridge action"). The claims in this action seek to piggyback on the portion of the DOJ antitrust litigation discussed above with regard to the District Court's findings concerning MasterCard's CPP and Visa's related bylaw. MasterCard and Visa moved to dismiss the complaint and the Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' Cartwright Act claims but denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' Section 17200 unfair competition claims. MasterCard filed an answer to the complaint in June 2006 and the parties have proceeded with discovery. In September 2009, MasterCard executed a settlement agreement that is subject to court approval in the California consumer litigations (see "—U.S. Merchant and Consumer Litigations"). The agreement includes a release that the parties believe encompasses the claims asserted in the Attridge action. In August 2010, the Court in the California consumer actions executed an order granting final approval to the settlement. The plaintiff from the Attridge action and three other objectors have filed a notice that they intend to appeal the settlement approval order and briefing on the appeals is ongoing. At this time, it is not possible to determine the outcome of, or estimate the liability related to, the Attridge action and no incremental provision for losses has been provided in connection with it.

**Currency Conversion Litigations**

MasterCard International, together with Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Visa International Corp., are defendants in a state court lawsuit in California. The lawsuit alleges that MasterCard and Visa wrongfully imposed an asserted one percent currency conversion "fee" on every credit card transaction by U.S. MasterCard and Visa cardholders involving the purchase of goods or services in a foreign country, and that such alleged "fee" is unlawful. This action, titled *Schwartz v. Visa Int'l Corp., et al.* (the "Schwartz action"), was brought in the Superior Court of California in February 2000, purportedly on behalf of the general public. MasterCard International, Visa U.S.A., Inc., Visa International Corp., several member banks including Citibank (South Dakota), N.A., Chase Manhattan Bank USA, N.A., Bank of America, N.A. (USA), MBNA, and Citicorp Diners Club Inc. are also defendants in a number of federal putative class actions that allege, among other things, violations of federal antitrust laws based on the asserted one percent currency conversion "fee." Pursuant to an order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the federal complaints have been consolidated in MDL No. 1409 (the "MDL action") before Judge William H. Pauley III in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

In July 2006, MasterCard and the other defendants in the MDL action entered into agreements settling the MDL action and related matters, as well as the Schwartz matter. Pursuant to the settlement agreements, MasterCard paid approximately \$72 million to be used for the defendants' settlement fund to settle the MDL action and approximately \$13 million to settle the Schwartz matter. In November 2006, Judge Pauley granted preliminary approval of the settlement agreements, which were subject to both final approval by Judge Pauley and resolution of



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

all appeals. Subsequently in November 2006, the plaintiff in one of the New York state court cases appealed the preliminary approval of the settlement agreement to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. In November 2009, Judge Pauley signed a Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal granting final approval to the settlement agreements, and subsequently the same plaintiff in the New York state cases filed notice of appeal of final settlement approval in the MDL action. Within the time period for appeal in the MDL action, twelve other such notices of appeal were filed. Subsequently, several plaintiffs have requested to withdraw their appeals. Briefing on the remaining appeals is ongoing. With regard to other state court currency conversion actions, MasterCard has reached agreements in principle with the plaintiffs for a total of approximately \$4 million, which has been accrued. Settlement agreements have been executed with plaintiffs in the Ohio, Pennsylvania, Florida, Texas, Arkansas, Tennessee, Arizona, New York, Minnesota, Illinois and Missouri actions. At this time, it is not possible to predict with certainty the ultimate resolution of these matters.

**U.S. Merchant and Consumer Litigations**

Commencing in October 1996, several class action suits were brought by a number of U.S. merchants against MasterCard International and Visa U.S.A., Inc. challenging certain aspects of the payment card industry under U.S. federal antitrust law. Those suits were later consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The plaintiffs claimed that MasterCard's "Honor All Cards" rule (and a similar Visa rule), which required merchants who accept MasterCard cards to accept for payment every validly presented MasterCard card, constituted an illegal tying arrangement in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Plaintiffs claimed that MasterCard and Visa unlawfully tied acceptance of debit cards to acceptance of credit cards. In June 2003, MasterCard International signed a settlement agreement to settle the claims brought by the plaintiffs in this matter, which the Court approved in December 2003. In January 2005, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order affirming the District Court's approval of the settlement agreement thus making it final. In July 2009, MasterCard International entered into an agreement with the plaintiffs to prepay MasterCard International's remaining payment obligations under the settlement agreement at a discount. In August 2009, the court entered a final order approving the prepayment agreement. The agreement became final pursuant to its terms in September 2009 as there were no appeals of the court's approval, and the prepayment was subsequently made in September 2009.

In addition, individual or multiple complaints have been brought in nineteen different states and the District of Columbia alleging state unfair competition, consumer protection and common law claims against MasterCard International (and Visa) on behalf of putative classes of consumers. The claims in these actions largely mirror the allegations made in the U.S. merchant lawsuit and assert that merchants, faced with excessive merchant discount fees, have passed these overcharges to consumers in the form of higher prices on goods and services sold. MasterCard has been successful in dismissing cases in seventeen of the jurisdictions as courts have granted MasterCard's motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim or plaintiffs have voluntarily dismissed their complaints. However, there are outstanding cases in New Mexico and California. In June 2010, the court issued an order granting MasterCard's motion to dismiss the complaint in the New Mexico action. The plaintiffs have filed a notice of appeal of that decision and briefing on the appeal is ongoing. With respect to the California state actions, and as discussed above under "Department of Justice Antitrust Litigation and Related Private Litigations," in September 2009, the parties to the California state court actions executed a settlement agreement which required a payment by MasterCard of \$6 million, subject to approval by the California state court. In August 2010, the court executed an order granting final approval of the settlement, subsequent to which MasterCard made the payment required by the settlement agreement. The plaintiff from the Attridge action described above under "Department of Justice Antitrust Litigation and Related Private Litigations" and three other objectors have filed a notice that they intend to appeal the settlement approval order and briefing on the appeals is ongoing.

At this time, it is not possible to determine the outcome of, or, except as indicated above in the California consumer action, estimate the liability related to, the remaining consumer cases and no provision for losses has been provided in connection with them. The consumer class actions are not covered by the terms of the settlement agreement in the U.S. merchant lawsuit.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)****Interchange Litigation and Regulatory Proceedings**

Interchange fees represent a sharing of payment system costs among the financial institutions participating in a four-party payment card system such as MasterCard's. Typically, interchange fees are paid by the acquirer to the issuer in connection with purchase transactions initiated with the payment system's cards. These fees reimburse the issuer for a portion of the costs incurred by it in providing services which are of benefit to all participants in the system, including acquirers and merchants. MasterCard or its customer financial institutions establish default interchange fees in certain circumstances that apply when there is no other interchange fee arrangement between the issuer and the acquirer. MasterCard establishes a variety of interchange rates depending on such considerations as the location and the type of transaction, and collects the interchange fee on behalf of the institutions entitled to receive it and remits the interchange fee to eligible institutions. As described more fully below, MasterCard's interchange fees are subject to regulatory and/or legal review and/or challenges in a number of jurisdictions. At this time, it is not possible to determine the ultimate resolution of, or estimate the liability related to, any of the interchange proceedings described below. Except as described below, no provision for losses has been provided in connection with them.

*United States.* In June 2005, a purported class action lawsuit was filed by a group of merchants in the U.S. District Court of Connecticut against MasterCard International Incorporated, Visa U.S.A., Inc., Visa International Service Association and a number of member banks alleging, among other things, that MasterCard's and Visa's purported setting of interchange fees violates Section 1 of the Sherman Act, which prohibits contracts, combinations and conspiracies that unreasonably restrain trade. In addition, the complaint alleges MasterCard's and Visa's purported tying and bundling of transaction fees also constitutes a violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The suit seeks treble damages in an unspecified amount, attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. Since the filing of this complaint, there have been approximately fifty similar complaints (the majority of which are styled as class actions, although a few complaints are on behalf of individual merchant plaintiffs) filed on behalf of merchants against MasterCard and Visa (and in some cases, certain member banks) in federal courts in California, New York, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Ohio, Kentucky and Connecticut. In October 2005, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation issued an order transferring these cases to Judge Gleeson of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York for coordination of pre-trial proceedings in MDL No. 1720. In April 2006, the group of purported class plaintiffs filed a First Amended Class Action Complaint. Taken together, the claims in the First Amended Class Action Complaint and in the complaints brought on the behalf of the individual merchants are generally brought under both Section 1 of the Sherman Act and Section 2 of the Sherman Act, which prohibits monopolization and attempts or conspiracies to monopolize a particular industry. Specifically, the complaints contain some or all of the following claims: (1) that MasterCard's and Visa's setting of interchange fees (for both credit and off-line debit transactions) violates Section 1 of the Sherman Act; (2) that MasterCard and Visa have enacted and enforced various rules, including the no surcharge rule and purported anti-steering rules, in violation of Section 1 or 2 of the Sherman Act; (3) that MasterCard's and Visa's purported bundling of the acceptance of premium credit cards to standard credit cards constitutes an unlawful tying arrangement; and (4) that MasterCard and Visa have unlawfully tied and bundled transaction fees. In addition to the claims brought under federal antitrust law, some of these complaints contain certain unfair competition law claims under state law based upon the same conduct described above. These interchange-related litigations seek treble damages, as well as attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. In June 2006, MasterCard answered the complaint and moved to dismiss or, alternatively, moved to strike the pre-2004 damage claims that were contained in the First Amended Class Action Complaint and moved to dismiss the Section 2 claims that were brought in the individual merchant complaints. In January 2008, the district court dismissed the plaintiffs' pre-2004 damage claims. In May 2008, the court denied MasterCard's motion to dismiss the Section 2 monopolization claims. Fact discovery has been proceeding and was generally completed by November 2008. Briefs have been submitted on plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The court heard oral argument on the plaintiffs' class certification motion in November 2009. The parties are awaiting a decision on the motion.

In January 2009, the class plaintiffs filed a Second Consolidated Class Action Complaint. The allegations and claims in this complaint generally mirror those in the first amended class action complaint described above although plaintiffs have added additional claims brought under Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act against MasterCard, Visa and a number of banks alleging, among other things, that the networks and banks have continued to fix interchange fees following each network's initial public offering. In March 2009, MasterCard and the other defendants in the action filed a motion to dismiss the Second Consolidated Class Action Complaint in its entirety, or alternatively, to



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

narrow the claims in the complaint. The parties have fully briefed the motion and the court heard oral argument on the motion in November 2009. The parties are awaiting decisions on the motions.

In July 2006, the group of purported class plaintiffs filed a supplemental complaint alleging that MasterCard's initial public offering of its Class A Common Stock in May 2006 (the "IPO") and certain purported agreements entered into between MasterCard and its member financial institutions in connection with the IPO: (1) violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act because their effect allegedly may be to substantially lessen competition, (2) violate Section 1 of the Sherman Act because they allegedly constitute an unlawful combination in restraint of trade and (3) constitute a fraudulent conveyance because the member banks are allegedly attempting to release without adequate consideration from the member banks MasterCard's right to assess the member banks for MasterCard's litigation liabilities in these interchange-related litigations and in other antitrust litigations pending against it. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages and an order reversing and unwinding the IPO. In September 2006, MasterCard moved to dismiss all of the claims contained in the supplemental complaint. In November 2008, the district court granted MasterCard's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' supplemental complaint in its entirety with leave to file an amended complaint. In January 2009, the class plaintiffs replied their complaint directed at MasterCard's IPO by filing a First Amended Supplemental Class Action Complaint. The causes of action in the complaint generally mirror those in the plaintiffs' original IPO-related complaint although the plaintiffs have attempted to expand their factual allegations based upon discovery that has been garnered in the case. The class plaintiffs seek treble damages and injunctive relief including, but not limited to, an order reversing and unwinding the IPO. In March 2009, MasterCard filed a motion to dismiss the First Amended Supplemental Class Action Complaint in its entirety. The parties have fully briefed the motion to dismiss and the court heard oral argument on the motion in November 2009. The parties are awaiting a decision on the motion. In July 2009, the class plaintiffs and individual plaintiffs served confidential expert reports detailing the plaintiffs' theories of liability and alleging damages in the tens of billions of dollars. The defendants served their expert reports in December 2009 countering the plaintiffs' assertions of liability and damages. In February 2011, both the defendants and the plaintiffs served a number of dispositive motions seeking summary judgment on all or portions of the claims in the complaints. Briefing on these motions is scheduled to be completed in June 2011. The court has scheduled a trial date of September 12, 2012. The trial date is subject to further delay based upon the timing of any rulings on the outstanding motions by the parties and any objections or appeals of those decisions along with other factors. MasterCard and the other defendants have been participating in separate court-recommended mediation sessions with the individual merchant plaintiffs (who account for less than 5% of the purchase volume of the class plaintiffs) and the class plaintiffs. Although substantial progress has been made in the mediation with the individual merchant plaintiffs, there has not been similar progress with the class plaintiffs. In particular, the class plaintiffs' confidential demands to MasterCard include unacceptable financial components as well as unacceptable changes to MasterCard's business practices, and accordingly, MasterCard cannot ascertain whether the mediation or any settlement efforts will be successful. As a result of, among other things, varied progress in mediation and settlement negotiations, numerous yet-unresolved motions in the proceedings, and the uncertainty of the potential outcomes of these and related issues, an estimate of a reasonably possible loss is not possible to ascertain at this time.

On February 7, 2011, MasterCard and MasterCard International Incorporated entered into each of: (1) an omnibus judgment sharing and settlement sharing agreement with Visa Inc., Visa U.S.A. Inc. and Visa International Service Association and a number of member banks; and (2) a MasterCard settlement and judgment sharing agreement with a number of member banks. The agreements provide for the apportionment of certain costs and liabilities which MasterCard, the Visa parties and the member banks may incur, jointly and/or severally, in the event of an adverse judgment or settlement of one or all of the cases in the interchange merchant litigations. Among a number of scenarios addressed by the agreements, in the event of a global settlement involving the Visa parties, the member banks and MasterCard, MasterCard would pay 12% of the monetary portion of the settlement. In the event of a settlement involving only MasterCard and the member banks with respect to their issuance of MasterCard cards, MasterCard would pay 36% of the monetary portion of such settlement.

In October 2008, the Antitrust Division of the DOJ issued a civil investigative demand to MasterCard and other payment industry participants seeking information regarding certain rules relating to merchant point of acceptance rules. Subsequently, MasterCard received requests for similar information from ten State Attorneys General. On October 1, 2010, MasterCard, the DOJ and seven of the State Attorneys General executed a stipulation and proposed final judgment, subject to court review and approval, pursuant to which MasterCard agreed to make certain modifications to its rules to conform to MasterCard's existing business practices, and therefore to specify, among other things, the ways in which merchants may steer customers to preferred payment forms. The proposed settlement would resolve the DOJ's investigation, and all ten State Attorneys General have closed their investigations of MasterCard. The parties are currently awaiting court approval of the settlement.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

*European Union.* In September 2003, the European Commission issued a Statement of Objections challenging MasterCard Europe's cross-border default interchange fees. In June 2006, the European Commission issued a supplemental Statement of Objections covering credit, debit and commercial card fees. In November 2006, the European Commission held hearings on MasterCard Europe's cross-border default interchange fees. In March 2007, the European Commission issued a Letter of Facts, also covering credit, debit and commercial card fees and discussing its views on the impact of the IPO on the case. MasterCard Europe responded to the Statements of Objections and Letter of Facts and made presentations on a variety of issues at the hearings.

The European Commission announced its decision in December 2007. The decision applies to MasterCard's default cross-border interchange fees for MasterCard and Maestro branded consumer payment card transactions in the European Economic Area ("EEA") (the European Commission refers to these as "MasterCard's MIF"), but not to commercial card transactions (the European Commission stated publicly that it has not yet finished its investigation of commercial card interchange fees). The decision applies to MasterCard's MIF for cross-border consumer card payments and to any domestic consumer card transactions that default to MasterCard's MIF, of which currently there are none. The decision required MasterCard to stop applying the MasterCard MIF, to refrain from repeating the conduct, and not apply its then recently adopted (but never implemented) Maestro SEPA and Intra-Eurozone default interchange fees to debit card payment transactions within the Eurozone. MasterCard understood that the decision gave MasterCard until June 21, 2008 to comply, with the possibility that the European Commission could have extended this time at its discretion. The decision also required MasterCard to issue certain specific notices to financial institutions and other entities that participate in its MasterCard and Maestro payment systems in the EEA and make certain specific public announcements regarding the steps it has taken to comply. The decision did not impose a fine on MasterCard, but provides for a daily penalty of up to 3.5% of MasterCard's daily consolidated global turnover in the preceding business year (which MasterCard estimates to be approximately \$0.5 million U.S. per day) in the event that MasterCard fails to comply. In March 2008, MasterCard filed an application for annulment of the European Commission's decision with the General Court of the European Union.

The December 2007 decision against MasterCard permits MasterCard to establish other default cross-border consumer card interchange fees for MasterCard and Maestro branded consumer payment card transactions in the EEA if MasterCard can demonstrate by empirical proof to the European Commission's satisfaction that the new interchange fees create efficiencies that outweigh the restriction of competition alleged by the European Commission, that consumers get a fair share of the benefits of the new interchange fees, that there are no less restrictive means of achieving the efficiencies of MasterCard's payment systems, and that competition is not eliminated altogether. In March 2008, MasterCard entered into discussions with the European Commission about, among other things, the nature of the empirical proof it would require for MasterCard to establish other default cross-border consumer card interchange fees consistent with the decision and so as to understand more fully the European Commission's position as to how it may comply with the decision. MasterCard requested an extension of time to comply with the decision and, in April 2008, the European Commission informed MasterCard that it had rejected such request. In June 2008, MasterCard announced that, effective June 21, 2008, MasterCard would temporarily repeal its then current default intra-EEA cross-border consumer card interchange fees in conformity with the decision. In October 2008, MasterCard received an information request from the European Commission in connection with the decision concerning certain pricing changes that MasterCard implemented as of October 1, 2008. MasterCard submitted its response in November 2008.

In March 2009, MasterCard gave certain undertakings to the European Commission and, in response, in April 2009, the Commissioner for competition policy and DG Competition informed MasterCard that, subject to MasterCard's fulfilling its undertakings, they do not intend to pursue proceedings for non-compliance with or circumvention of the decision of December 2007 or for infringing the antitrust laws in relation to the October 2008 pricing changes, the introduction of new cross-border consumer default interchange fees or any of the other MasterCard undertakings. MasterCard's undertakings include: (1) repealing the October 2008 pricing changes; (2) adopting a specific methodology for the setting of cross-border consumer default interchange fees; (3) establishing new default cross-border consumer card interchange fees as of July 1, 2009 such that the weighted average interchange fee for credit card transactions does not exceed 30 basis points and for debit card transactions does not exceed 20 basis points; (4) introducing a new rule prohibiting its acquirers from requiring merchants to process all of their MasterCard and Maestro transactions with the acquirer; and (5) introducing a new rule requiring its acquirers to provide merchants with certain pricing information in connection with MasterCard and Maestro transactions. The undertakings will be effective until a final decision by the General Court of the European Union regarding MasterCard's application for annulment of the European Commission's December 2007 decision.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

Although MasterCard believes that any other business practices it would implement in response to the decision would be in compliance with the December 2007 decision, the European Commission may deem any such practice not in compliance with the decision, or in violation of European competition law, in which case MasterCard may be assessed fines for the period that it is not in compliance. Furthermore, because a balancing mechanism like default cross-border interchange fees constitutes an essential element of MasterCard Europe's operations, the December 2007 decision could also significantly impact MasterCard International's European customers' and MasterCard Europe's business. The European Commission decision could also lead to additional competition authorities in European Union member states commencing investigations or proceedings regarding domestic interchange fees or, in certain jurisdictions, regulation. In addition, the European Commission's decision could lead to the filing of private actions against MasterCard Europe by merchants and/or consumers which, if MasterCard is unsuccessful in its application for annulment of the decision, could result in MasterCard owing substantial damages.

*United Kingdom* . In September 2001, the Office of Fair Trading of the United Kingdom ("OFT") issued a Rule 14 Notice under the U.K. Competition Act 1998 challenging the MasterCard default interchange fees and multilateral service fee ("MSF"), the fee paid by issuers to acquirers when a customer uses a MasterCard-branded card in the United Kingdom either at an ATM or over the counter to obtain a cash advance. Until November 2004, the interchange fees and MSF were established by MasterCard U.K. Members Forum Limited ("MMF") (formerly MasterCard Europay U.K. Ltd.) for domestic credit card transactions in the United Kingdom. The notice contained preliminary conclusions to the effect that the MasterCard U.K. default interchange fees and MSF infringed U.K. competition law and did not qualify for an exemption in their present forms. In February 2003, the OFT issued a supplemental Rule 14 Notice, which also contained preliminary conclusions challenging MasterCard's U.K. interchange fees (but not the MSF) under the Competition Act. In November 2004, the OFT issued a third notice (now called a Statement of Objections) claiming that the interchange fees infringed U.K. and European Union competition law.

In November 2004, MasterCard's board of directors adopted a resolution withdrawing the authority of the U.K. members to set domestic MasterCard interchange fees and MSFs and conferring such authority exclusively on MasterCard's President and Chief Executive Officer.

In September 2005, the OFT issued its decision, concluding that MasterCard's U.K. interchange fees that were established by MMF prior to November 18, 2004 contravene U.K. and European Union competition law. The OFT decided not to impose penalties on MasterCard or MMF. MMF and MasterCard appealed the OFT's decision to the U.K. Competition Appeals Tribunal. In June 2006, the U.K. Competition Appeals Tribunal set aside the OFT's decision, following the OFT's request to the Tribunal to withdraw the decision and end its case against MasterCard's U.K. default interchange fees in place prior to November 18, 2004.

Shortly thereafter, the OFT commenced a new investigation of MasterCard's current U.K. default credit card interchange fees and announced in February 2007 that the investigation would also cover so-called "immediate debit" cards. To date, the OFT has issued a number of requests for information to MasterCard Europe and financial institutions that participate in MasterCard's payment system in the United Kingdom. MasterCard understood that the OFT was considering whether to commence a formal proceeding through the issuance of a Statement of Objections. In January 2010, the OFT informed MasterCard that it does not intend to issue such a Statement of Objections prior to the judgment of the General Court of the European Union with respect to MasterCard's appeal of the December 2007 cross-border interchange fee decision of the European Commission. If the OFT ultimately determines that any of MasterCard's U.K. interchange fees contravene U.K. and European Union competition law, it may issue a new decision and possibly levy fines accruing from the date of its first decision. MasterCard would likely appeal a negative decision by the OFT in any future proceeding to the Competition Appeals Tribunal. Such an OFT decision could lead to the filing of private actions against MasterCard by merchants and/or consumers which, if its appeal of such an OFT decision were to fail, could result in an award or awards of substantial damages and could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard International's U.K. customers and MasterCard's overall business in the U.K.

*Poland*. In April 2001, in response to merchant complaints, the Polish Office for Protection of Competition and Consumers (the "PCA") initiated an investigation of MasterCard's domestic credit and debit card default interchange fees. MasterCard Europe filed several submissions and met with the PCA in connection with the investigation. In January 2007, the PCA issued a decision that MasterCard's (and Visa's) interchange fees are

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

unlawful under Polish competition law, and imposed fines on MasterCard's (and Visa's) licensed financial institutions. As part of this decision, the PCA also decided that MasterCard (and Visa) had not violated the law. MasterCard and the financial institutions appealed the decision to the court of first instance. In November 2008, the court of first instance reversed the decision of the PCA and also rejected MasterCard's appeal on the basis that MasterCard did not have a legal interest in the PCA's decision because its conduct was not found to be in breach of the relevant competition laws. MasterCard appealed this part of the court of first instance's decision because it has significant interest in the outcome of the case. The PCA appealed the other parts of the decision. In April 2010, the court of appeals issued an oral decision (followed by a written decision in May 2010) in which it reinstated MasterCard's appeal, reversed a specific finding of the court of first instance and sent the case back to the court of first instance for further proceedings. If on appeal the PCA's decision is ultimately allowed to stand, it could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Polish customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Poland.

*Hungary.* In January 2008, the Hungarian Competition Authority ("HCA") notified MasterCard that it had commenced a formal investigation of MasterCard Europe's (and Visa Europe's) domestic interchange fees. This followed an informal investigation that the HCA had been conducting since the middle of 2007. In July 2009, the HCA issued to MasterCard a Preliminary Position that MasterCard Europe's historic domestic interchange fees violate Hungarian competition law. MasterCard responded to the Preliminary Position both in writing and at a hearing which was held in September 2009. Subsequently in September 2009, the HCA ruled that MasterCard's (and Visa's) historic interchange fees violated the law and fined each of MasterCard Europe and Visa Europe approximately \$3 million, which was paid during the fourth quarter of 2009. In December 2009, the HCA issued its formal decision and MasterCard appealed the decision to the Hungarian courts. In September 2010, the HCA filed its reply to MasterCard's appeal, while MasterCard filed its response in October 2010. In October 2010, the Hungarian appeals court stayed the proceeding until MasterCard's appeal to the General Court of the European Union of the European Commission's December 2007 cross-border interchange fee decision is finally decided. If the HCA's decision is not reversed on appeal, it could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Hungarian customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Hungary.

*Italy.* In July 2009, the Italian Competition Authority ("ICA") commenced a proceeding against MasterCard and a number of its customers concerning MasterCard Europe's domestic interchange fees in Italy. MasterCard, as well as each of the banks involved in the proceeding, offered to give certain undertakings to the ICA, which were rejected (which rejection MasterCard appealed to the Administrative Court). In May 2010, the ICA issued a Statement of Objections to MasterCard and the banks. In November 2010, the ICA adopted a decision in which it determined that MasterCard Europe's domestic interchange fees violate European Union competition law, fined MasterCard €2.7 million and ordered MasterCard to refrain in the future from maintaining interchange fees that are not based on economic justifications linked to efficiency criteria and to eliminate any anticompetitive clauses from its licensing agreements. MasterCard has appealed the ICA's interchange fee decision to the Administrative Court. Subsequently in November 2010, the Administrative Court announced its judgment that the ICA had improperly rejected MasterCard's proposed undertakings and annulled the ICA's rejection decision (which decision the ICA has appealed to the Council of State). If the Administrative Court's judgment is overturned and the ICA's interchange fee decision is not reversed on appeal, the ICA's decision could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Italian customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Italy.

*Canada.* In December 2010, the Canadian Competition Bureau (the "CCB") filed an application with the Canadian Competition Tribunal to strike down certain MasterCard rules related to interchange fees, including the "honor all cards" and "no surcharge" rules. Also in December 2010, MasterCard learned that a purported class action lawsuit had been commenced against it in Quebec on behalf of Canadian merchants and consumers. That suit essentially repeats the allegations and arguments of the CCB application to the Canadian Competition Tribunal and seeks compensatory and punitive damages in unspecified amounts, as well as injunctive relief. In March 2011, a second purported class action lawsuit was commenced in British Columbia against MasterCard, Visa and a number of large Canadian banks. This suit alleges that MasterCard, Visa and the banks have engaged in a price fixing conspiracy to increase or maintain the fees paid by merchants on credit card transactions and that MasterCard's and Visa's rules force merchants to accept MasterCard and Visa credit cards and prevent merchants from charging more for payments with MasterCard and Visa premium cards. This second suit also seeks compensatory and punitive damages in unspecified amounts, as well as injunctive relief. If the CCB's challenges and/or the class action law suits were ultimately successful, such negative decisions could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

MasterCard's Canadian customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Canada and, in the case of the private lawsuits, could result in substantial damage awards.

*Australia.* In 2002, the Reserve Bank of Australia ("RBA") announced regulations under the Payments Systems (Regulation) Act of 1998 applicable to four-party credit card payment systems in Australia, including MasterCard's. Those regulations, among other things, mandate the use of a formula for determining domestic interchange fees that effectively caps their weighted average at 50 basis points. Operators of three-party systems, such as American Express and Diners Club, were unaffected by the interchange fee regulation. In 2007, the RBA commenced a review of such regulations and, in September 2008, the RBA released its final conclusions. These indicated that the RBA was willing to withdraw its regulations if MasterCard and Visa made certain undertakings regarding the future levels of their respective credit card interchange fees and other practices, including their "honor all cards" rules. If the undertakings were not made, the RBA said it would consider imposing in 2009 additional regulations that could further reduce the domestic interchange fees of MasterCard and Visa in Australia. In August 2009, the RBA announced that it had decided not to withdraw its regulations and that it would maintain them in their current form pending further consideration of the regulations. MasterCard plans to continue discussions with the RBA as to the nature of the undertakings that MasterCard may be willing to provide. The effect of the undertakings or any such additional regulations could put MasterCard at an even greater competitive disadvantage relative to competitors in Australia that purportedly do not operate four-party systems or, in the case of the undertakings, possibly increase MasterCard's legal exposure under Australian competition laws, which could have a significant adverse impact on MasterCard's business in Australia.

*South Africa.* In August 2006, the South Africa Competition Commission created a special body, the Jali Enquiry (the "Enquiry"), to examine competition in the payments industry in South Africa, including interchange fees. After nearly two years of investigation, including several rounds of public hearings in which MasterCard participated, in June 2008, the Enquiry published an Executive Summary of its findings. The Enquiry's full report was made public in December 2008. The Enquiry recommends, among other things, that an independent authority be established to set payment card interchange fees in South Africa and that payment systems' (including MasterCard's) respective "honor all cards" rules be modified to give merchants greater freedom to choose which types of cards to accept. Following the issuance of the Enquiry's report, the South African Reserve Bank ("SARB"), the South African Treasury and the South African Competition Commission informed MasterCard that they were actively considering what, if any, action they would take in response to the Enquiry's recommendations. In September 2010, the SARB informed MasterCard that it intends to appoint an independent consultant to make a recommendation on a simplified interchange structure for all payment systems in South Africa, including MasterCard's. Such an interchange structure, if adopted, could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's South African customers and on MasterCard's overall business in South Africa.

*Other Jurisdictions.* In January 2006, a German retailers association filed a complaint with the Federal Cartel Office ("FCO") in Germany concerning MasterCard's (and Visa's) domestic default interchange fees. The complaint alleges that MasterCard's (and Visa's) German domestic interchange fees are not transparent to merchants and include so-called "extraneous costs". In December 2009, the FCO sent MasterCard a questionnaire concerning its domestic interchange fees.

MasterCard is aware that regulatory authorities and/or central banks in certain other jurisdictions including Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Israel, Latvia, the Netherlands, Norway, Slovakia, Turkey and Venezuela are reviewing MasterCard's and/or its members' interchange fees and/or related practices (such as the "honor all cards" rule) and may seek to regulate the establishment of such fees and/or such practices.

#### **Other Regulatory Proceedings**

In addition to challenges to interchange fees, MasterCard's standards and operations are also subject to regulatory and/or legal review and/or challenges in a number of jurisdictions. At this time, it is not possible to determine the ultimate resolution of, or estimate the liability related to, any of the proceedings described below. Except as described below, no provision for losses has been provided in connection with them.

*Switzerland.* In July 2010, MasterCard received a notice from the Swiss Competition Authority ("WEKO") that, based upon complaints, WEKO had opened an investigation of MasterCard's domestic debit acquirer fees to



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

determine whether to order MasterCard to discontinue charging the fees. Subsequently in July 2010, MasterCard responded to the notice and filed additional comments. In September 2010, the WEKO issued a decision in which it rejected the complaints and declined to open proceedings on the matter. More recently, MasterCard learned that the WEKO has not formally concluded its investigation of the fees.

*Ukraine.* In June 2010, the Ukrainian Competition Authority (the “UCA”) issued MasterCard a comprehensive information request concerning its rules and domestic fees in response to a complaint filed by a Ukrainian banking association. MasterCard is discussing with the UCA how to best address its concerns.

*Netherlands.* On February 11, 2011, the Netherlands Competition Authority issued MasterCard a Statement of Objections challenging MasterCard co-branding and co-residency rules and policies. The co-branding rules being challenged prohibit, in some cases, financial institutions licensed by MasterCard from placing other payment systems’ brands on MasterCard cards. The co-residency rules being challenged prohibit, in some cases, licensed financial institutions from encoding other payment systems’ applications on the electronic “chip” in MasterCard cards. MasterCard filed its response to the Statement of Objection on March 11, 2011. A hearing on the matter was held on April 14, 2011.

**Note 19. Settlement and Other Risk Management**

MasterCard International’s rules generally guarantee the payment of certain MasterCard, Cirrus and Maestro branded transactions between its principal members. The term and amount of the guarantee are unlimited. Settlement risk is the exposure to members under MasterCard International’s rules (“Settlement Exposure”), due to the difference in timing between the payment transaction date and subsequent settlement. The duration of this exposure is short term and typically limited to a few days. Settlement Exposure is estimated using the average daily card volumes during the quarter multiplied by the estimated number of days to settle. The Company has global risk management policies and procedures, which include risk standards, to provide a framework for managing the Company’s settlement risk. Member-reported transaction data and the transaction clearing data underlying the settlement risk calculation may be revised in subsequent reporting periods.

In the event that MasterCard International effects a payment on behalf of a failed member, MasterCard International may seek an assignment of the underlying receivables. Subject to approval by the Board of Directors, members may be charged for the amount of any settlement loss incurred during the ordinary activities of the Company.

MasterCard requires certain members that are not in compliance with the Company’s risk standards in effect at the time of review to post collateral, typically in the form of cash, letters of credit, or guarantees. This requirement is based on management review of the individual risk circumstances for each member that is out of compliance. In addition to these amounts, MasterCard holds collateral to cover variability and future growth in member programs. The Company may also hold collateral to pay merchants in the event of merchant bank/acquirer failure. Although it is not contractually obligated under MasterCard International’s rules to effect such payments to merchants, the Company may elect to do so to protect brand integrity. MasterCard monitors its credit risk portfolio on a regular basis and the adequacy of collateral on hand. Additionally, from time to time, the Company reviews its risk management methodology and standards. As such, the amounts of estimated settlement risk are revised as necessary.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

Estimated Settlement Exposure, and the portion of the Company's uncollateralized Settlement Exposure for MasterCard-branded transactions that relates to members that are deemed not to be in compliance with, or that are under review in connection with, the Company's risk management standards, were as follows:

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(in millions)	
<b>MasterCard-branded transactions:</b>		
Gross Settlement Exposure	\$30,358	\$ 29,695
Collateral held for Settlement Exposure	(3,528)	(3,062)
Net uncollateralized Settlement Exposure	<u>\$26,830</u>	<u>\$ 26,633</u>
<b>Uncollateralized Settlement Exposure attributable to non-compliant members</b>	<u>\$ 355</u>	<u>\$ 279</u>
<b>Cirrus and Maestro transactions:</b>		
Gross Settlement Exposure	<u>\$ 2,893</u>	<u>\$ 2,962</u>

Although MasterCard holds collateral at the member level, the Cirrus and Maestro estimated Settlement Exposures are calculated at the regional level. Therefore, these Settlement Exposures are reported on a gross basis, rather than net of collateral.

Of the total uncollateralized Settlement Exposure under the MasterCard brand, the United States accounted for approximately 32% and 33% at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. With the exception of Brazil, which was 15% and 16% at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and France, which was 10% at March 31, 2011, no individual country other than the United States accounted for more than 10% of total uncollateralized Settlement Exposure at either March 31, 2011 or December 31, 2010. Of the total uncollateralized Settlement Exposure attributable to non-compliant members, five members represented approximately 67% and 64% at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

MasterCard guarantees the payment of MasterCard-branded travelers cheques in the event of issuer default. The guarantee estimate is based on all outstanding MasterCard-branded travelers cheques, reduced by an actuarial determination of cheques that are not anticipated to be presented for payment. The term of the guarantee is unlimited, while the amount is limited to cheques issued but not yet cashed. MasterCard calculated its MasterCard-branded travelers cheques exposure under this guarantee as \$347 million and \$361 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The reduction in travelers cheques exposure is attributable to MasterCard-branded travelers cheques no longer being issued.

A significant portion of the Company's travelers cheques risk is concentrated in one MasterCard travelers cheques issuer. MasterCard obtained an unlimited guarantee estimated at \$269 million and \$280 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, from a financial institution that is a member, to cover all of the exposure of outstanding travelers cheques with respect to such issuer. In addition, MasterCard obtained a limited guarantee estimated at \$13 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, from a financial institution that is a member in order to cover the exposure of outstanding travelers cheques with respect to another issuer. These guarantee amounts have also been reduced by an actuarial determination of travelers cheques that are not anticipated to be presented for payment.

Beginning in 2008, many of the Company's financial institution customers were directly and adversely impacted by the unprecedented events that occurred in the financial markets around the world. The ongoing economic turmoil presents increased risk that the Company may have to perform under its settlement and travelers cheque guarantees. General economic conditions and political conditions in countries in which MasterCard operates may also affect the Company's settlement risk. The Company's global risk management policies and procedures, which are revised and enhanced from time to time, continue to be effective as evidenced by the historically low level of losses that the Company has experienced from customer financial institution failures.

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

MasterCard also provides guarantees to customers and certain other companies indemnifying them from losses stemming from failures of third parties to perform duties. The amount of the guarantees was estimated at approximately \$31 million and \$20 million, as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The Company enters into business agreements in the ordinary course of business under which the Company agrees to indemnify third parties against damages, losses and expenses incurred in connection with legal and other proceedings arising from relationships or transactions with the Company. As the extent of the Company's obligations under these agreements depends entirely upon the occurrence of future events, the Company's potential future liability under these agreements is not determinable. See Note 5 (Fair Value).

**Note 20. Foreign Exchange Risk Management**

The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts to manage risk associated with anticipated receipts and disbursements which are either transacted in a non-functional currency or valued based on a currency other than its functional currencies. The Company also enters into foreign currency forward contracts to offset possible changes in value due to foreign exchange fluctuations of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. The objective of this activity is to reduce the Company's exposure to transaction gains and losses resulting from fluctuations of foreign currencies against its functional currencies.

The Company does not designate foreign currency derivatives as hedging instruments pursuant to the accounting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities. The Company records the change in the estimated fair value of the outstanding derivatives at the end of the reporting period to its consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of operations.



MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

As of March 31, 2011, all contracts to purchase and sell foreign currency had been entered into with customers of MasterCard. MasterCard's derivative contracts are classified by functional currency as summarized below:

U.S. Dollar Functional Currency

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Estimated Fair		Estimated Fair	
	Notional	Value <sup>1</sup>	Notional	Value <sup>1</sup>
		(in millions)		
Commitments to purchase foreign currency	\$ 31	\$ —	\$ 36	\$ 1
Commitments to sell foreign currency	310	(7)	129	(2)
<i>Balance Sheet Location:</i>				
<i>Accounts Receivable</i>		\$ 1		\$ 1
<i>Other Current Liabilities</i>		(8)		(2)

Euro Functional Currency

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Estimated Fair		Estimated Fair	
	Notional	Value <sup>1</sup>	Notional	Value <sup>1</sup>
		(in millions)		
Commitments to purchase foreign currency	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ —
Commitments to sell foreign currency	65	2	14	—
<i>Balance Sheet Location:</i>				
<i>Accounts Receivable</i>		\$ 2		\$ —
<i>Other Current Liabilities</i>		—		—

U.K. Pound Sterling Functional Currency

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Estimated Fair		Estimated Fair	
	Notional	Value <sup>1</sup>	Notional	Value <sup>1</sup>
		(in millions)		
Commitments to purchase foreign currency	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Commitments to sell foreign currency	—	—	5	—
<i>Balance Sheet Location:</i>				
<i>Accounts Receivable</i>		\$ —		\$ —
<i>Other Current Liabilities</i>		—		—

	Amount and Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
<b>Derivatives Not Designated As Hedging</b>		
<b>Instruments</b>		
Foreign Currency Derivative Contracts		
General and administrative	\$ (11)	\$ (5)
Revenues	(2)	(1)
Total	<u>\$ (13)</u>	<u>\$ (6)</u>

<sup>1</sup> Amounts represent gross fair value amounts while these amounts may be netted for actual balance sheet presentation.

The currencies underlying the foreign currency forward contracts consist primarily of the Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Chinese renminbi, Hong Kong dollar, Korean won, Mexican peso, Swiss francs, Thai baht and Turkish lira. The fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts generally reflects the estimated amounts that the Company would receive (or pay), on a pre-tax basis, to terminate the contracts at the reporting date based on broker quotes for the same or similar instruments. The terms of the foreign currency forward contracts are generally less than 18 months. The Company had no deferred gains or losses related to foreign exchange in accumulated other comprehensive income as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 as there were no derivative contracts accounted for under hedge



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)**

The Company's derivative financial instruments are subject to both credit and market risk. Credit risk is the risk of loss due to failure of the counterparty to perform its obligations in accordance with contractual terms. Market risk is the risk of loss due to the potential change in an instrument's value caused by fluctuations in interest rates and other variables related to currency exchange rates. Credit and market risk related to derivative instruments were not material at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Generally, the Company does not obtain collateral related to derivatives because of the high credit ratings of the counterparties. The amount of loss the Company would incur if the counterparties failed to perform according to the terms of the contracts is not considered material.

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

*The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes of MasterCard Incorporated and its consolidated subsidiaries, including MasterCard International Incorporated ("MasterCard International") (together, "MasterCard" or the "Company") included elsewhere in this Report. Percentage changes provided throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations were calculated on amounts rounded to the nearest thousand.*

#### Forward-Looking Statements

This Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical facts may be forward-looking statements. When used in this Report, the words "believe", "expect", "could", "may", "would", "will", "trend" and similar words are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements relate to the Company's future prospects, developments and business strategies and include, without limitation, statements relating to:

- the Company's belief in the continuing trend towards electronic forms of payment;
- the Company's focus on growing its credit, debit, prepaid and payment transaction processing offerings;
- the Company's focus on diversifying our business (including seeking new areas of growth, expanding acceptance points and maintaining unsurpassed acceptance and successfully working with new business partners);
- the Company's focus on building new businesses through e-Commerce, mobile and other initiatives;
- the recent acquisition of the prepaid card program management operations of Travelex Holdings Ltd. (as described below);
- the effects of economic recoveries in areas such as the Asia/Pacific and Latin America regions;
- the Company's advertising and marketing strategy and investment;
- the potential reduction in the Company's tax rate over time;
- the Company's belief that cash generated from operations, our borrowing capacity and our access to capital resources are sufficient to meet our future operating capital needs and litigation settlement obligations; and
- the manner and amount of purchases by the Company pursuant to its share repurchase program, dependent upon price and market conditions.

Many factors and uncertainties relating to our operations and business environment, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are outside of our control, influence whether any forward-looking statements can or will be achieved. Any one of those factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in writing in any forward-looking statements made by MasterCard or on its behalf. We believe there are certain risk factors that are important to our business, and these could cause actual results to differ from our expectations. Such risk factors include: litigation decisions, regulation and legislation related to interchange fees and related practices; regulation established by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in the United States; regulation in one jurisdiction or of one product resulting in regulation in other jurisdictions or of other products; competitive issues caused by government actions; regulation of the payments industry, consumer privacy, data use and/or security; appeals of currency conversion case settlements; future reserves, incurred liability, limitations on business and other penalties resulting from litigation; competition in the payments industry; competitive pressure on pricing; banking industry consolidation; loss of significant business from significant customers; merchant activity; our relationship and the relationship of our competitors to our customers; brand perceptions and reputation; inability to grow our debit business, particularly in the United States; global economic events and the overall business environment; decline in cross-border travel; the effect of general economic and global political conditions on consumer spending trends; exposure to loss or illiquidity due to settlement obligation defaults by our customers; disruptions to our transaction processing systems; account data breaches; reputation damage from increases in fraudulent activity; the inability to keep pace with technological developments in the industry; the effect of adverse currency fluctuation; the inability to adequately manage change; acquisition integration issues; and issues relating to our Class A common stock and corporate governance structure. Please see a complete discussion of these risk factors in Part I, Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. We caution you that the important factors referenced above may not contain all of the factors that are important to you. Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report or as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update our forward-looking statements.

---

## Table of Contents

In this Report, references to the “Company,” “MasterCard,” “we,” “us” or “our” refer to the MasterCard brand generally, and to the business conducted by MasterCard Incorporated and its consolidated subsidiaries, including our operating subsidiary, MasterCard International Incorporated (d/b/a MasterCard Worldwide).

### Overview

MasterCard is a leading global payment solutions company that provides a critical economic link among financial institutions, businesses, merchants, cardholders and governments worldwide, enabling them to use electronic forms of payment instead of cash and checks. We provide a variety of services in support of the credit, debit, prepaid and related payment programs of approximately 22,000 financial institutions and other entities that are our customers. We primarily:

- offer a wide range of payment solutions, which enable our customers to develop and implement credit, debit, prepaid and related payment programs for their customers (which include cardholders, businesses and government entities),
- manage a family of well-known, widely accepted payment card brands, including MasterCard, Maestro and Cirrus, which we license to our customers for use in their payment programs,
- process payment transactions over the MasterCard Worldwide Network,
- provide support services to our customers and, depending upon the service, merchants and other clients, and
- as part of managing our brands and our franchise, establish and enforce a common set of standards for adherence by our customers for the efficient and secure use of our payment card network.

We generate revenues from the fees that we charge our customers for providing transaction processing and other payment-related services and by assessing our customers based primarily on the dollar volume of activity on the cards that carry our brands. Cardholder and merchant relationships are managed principally by our customers. We do not issue cards, extend credit to cardholders, determine the interest rates (if applicable) or other fees charged to cardholders by issuers, or establish the merchant discount charged by acquirers in connection with the acceptance of cards that carry our brands.

We believe the trend within the global payments industry from paper-based forms of payment, such as cash and checks, toward electronic forms of payment, such as payment card transactions, creates significant opportunities for the growth of our business over the longer term. Our focus is on continuing to:

- grow our offerings by extending our strength in our core businesses globally, including credit, debit, prepaid and processing payment transactions over the MasterCard Worldwide Network,
- diversify our business by seeking new areas of growth in markets around the world, expanding points of acceptance for our brands in new geographies, seeking to maintain unsurpassed acceptance, and working with new business partners such as merchants, government agencies and telecommunications companies, and
- build new businesses through continued strategic efforts with respect to innovative payment methods, such as electronic commerce (e-Commerce) and mobile capabilities.

---

## Table of Contents

See “-Business Environment” for a discussion of environmental considerations related to our long-term strategic objectives.

We recorded net income of \$562 million, or \$4.29 per diluted share, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus net income of \$455 million, or \$3.46 per diluted share, for the three months ended March 31, 2010. As of March 31, 2011, our liquidity and capital positions remained strong, with \$3.8 billion in cash and cash equivalents and current available-for-sale securities and \$5.2 billion in equity. In addition, we generated cash flows from operations of \$355 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Our net revenues increased 14.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to the increased dollar volume of activity on cards carrying our brands, pricing changes and increased transactions. The net foreign currency impact of changes in the U.S. dollar average exchange rates against the euro and Brazilian real had a minimal impact on net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Our revenues depend heavily upon the overall level of consumer, business and government spending. Changes in cardholder spending behavior, influenced by economic environments, impact our ability to grow our revenues. Our revenues are primarily based on transactions and volumes, which are impacted by the number of transactions and the dollar amount of each transaction. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, volume-based revenues (domestic assessments and cross-border volume fees) and transaction-based revenues (transaction processing fees) increased compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, our processed transactions increased 11.1% and our volumes increased 12.8% on a local currency basis. This compares to increased processed transactions of 4.6% and increased volumes of 8.6% on a local currency basis during the three months ended March 31, 2010. The net effects of pricing actions contributed approximately 5 percentage points to our net revenue growth for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Overall, net revenue growth for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was moderated by an increase in rebates and incentives relating to customer and merchant agreement activity.

Our operating expenses increased 9.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to greater general and administrative expenses and advertising and marketing expenses. The increase in general and administrative expenses, including expenses related to a recent acquisition, was primarily due to personnel expenses and professional fees. The net foreign currency impact of changes in the U.S. dollar average exchange rates against the euro and the Brazilian real had a minimal impact on expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Our ratios of operating income as a percentage of net revenues, or operating margins, were 55.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus 53.5% for the comparable period in 2010.

The effective income tax rates were 32.8% and 34.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

On December 9, 2010, we entered into an agreement to acquire the prepaid card program management operations of Travelex Holdings Ltd. (“CPM”). Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, we acquired CPM on April 15, 2011 at a purchase price of approximately 295 million U.K. pound sterling, or approximately \$481 million, including adjustments for working capital, with contingent consideration (an “earn-out”) of up to an additional 35 million U.K. pound sterling, or approximately \$57 million, if certain performance targets are met. CPM manages and delivers consumer and corporate prepaid travel cards through business partners around the world, including financial institutions, retailers, travel agents and foreign exchange bureaus. The acquisition of CPM is an expansion of our business into program management services. The acquisition is intended to enable us to offer end-to-end prepaid card solutions encompassing branded switching, issuing, processing and program management services, initially focused on the travel sector and in markets outside the United States.

## Business Environment

We process transactions from more than 210 countries and territories and in more than 150 currencies. Net revenue generated in the United States was approximately 40.2% and 41.0% of total net revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. No individual country, other than the United States,

---

## Table of Contents

generated more than 10% of total revenues in any period, but differences in market maturity, economic health, price changes and foreign exchange fluctuations in certain countries have increased the proportion of revenues generated outside the United States over time. While the global nature of our business helps protect our operating results from adverse economic conditions in a single or a few countries, the significant concentration of our revenues generated in the United States makes our business particularly susceptible to adverse economic conditions in the United States.

The competitive and evolving nature of the global payments industry provides both challenges to and opportunities for the continued growth of our business. Unprecedented events which began during 2008 impacted the financial markets around the world, including continued distress in the credit environment, continued equity market volatility and additional government intervention. In particular, the economies of the United States and the United Kingdom were significantly impacted by this economic turmoil, and it has also impacted other economies around the world. Countries that have experienced credit ratings actions by ratings agencies include several in Europe and the United States. Some existing customers have been placed in receivership or administration or have a significant amount of their stock owned by their governments. Many financial institutions are facing increased regulatory and governmental influence, including potential further changes in laws and regulations. Many of our financial institution customers, merchants that accept our brands and cardholders who use our brands have been directly and adversely impacted.

MasterCard's financial results may be negatively impacted by actions taken by individual financial institutions or by governmental or regulatory bodies in response to an economic crisis and the state of economic environments. The severity of the economic environments may accelerate the timing of or increase the impact of risks to our financial performance that have historically been present. As a result, our revenue growth may be negatively impacted, or the Company may be impacted in several ways, including but not limited to the following:

- Declining economies, foreign currency fluctuations and the pace of economic recovery can change consumer spending behaviors; for example, a significant portion of our revenues is dependent on cross-border travel patterns, which may continue to change.
- Constriction of consumer and business confidence, such as in recessionary environments and those markets experiencing relatively high unemployment, may cause decreased spending by cardholders.
- Our customers may restrict credit lines to cardholders or limit the issuance of new cards to mitigate increasing cardholder defaults.
- Uncertainty and volatility in the performance of our customers' businesses may make estimates of our revenues, rebates, incentives and realization of prepaid assets less predictable.
- Our customers may implement cost reduction initiatives that reduce or eliminate payment card marketing or increase requests for greater incentives or greater cost stability.
- Our customers may decrease spending for optional or enhanced services.
- Government intervention, including the effect of laws, regulations and/or government investments in our customers, may have potential negative effects on our business and our relationships with customers or otherwise alter their strategic direction away from our products.
- Tightening of credit availability could impact the ability of participating financial institutions to lend to us under the terms of our credit facility.
- Our customers may default on their settlement obligations. See Note 19 (Settlement and Other Risk Management) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 for further discussion of our settlement exposure .
- Our business and prospects, as well as our revenue and profitability, could be materially and adversely affected by consolidation of our customers. See "Additional consolidation or other changes in or affecting the banking industry could result in a loss of business for MasterCard and create pressure on the fees we charge our customers, resulting in lower prices and/or more favorable terms for our customers, which may materially and adversely affect our revenues and profitability" in Part I, Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for further discussion.

---

## Table of Contents

In addition, our business and our customers' businesses are subject to regulation in many countries. Regulatory bodies may seek to impose rules and price controls on certain aspects of our business and the payments industry. See Note 18 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report and Part I, Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for further discussion. In particular, in July 2010, the United States enacted into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. See "Risk Factors – Legal and Regulatory Risks – The Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act may have a material adverse impact on our revenue, our prospects for future growth and our overall business, financial condition and results of operations" in Part I, Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for further discussion, including with respect to: (1) regulation providing for limitations on debit and prepaid "interchange transaction fees", (2) regulation prohibiting exclusive debit network arrangements and routing restrictions for debit and (3) the creation of independent regulatory bodies with the authority to regulate consumer financial products and, potentially, broader aspects of payment card network operations (e.g., the ability to deem MasterCard "systematically important"). See also "Risk Factors – Legal and Regulatory Risks – New regulations in one jurisdiction or of one product may lead to new regulations in other jurisdictions or of other products" in Part I, Item 1A, of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

MasterCard continues to monitor the extent and pace of economic recovery around the world to identify opportunities for the continued growth of our business and to evaluate the evolution of the global payments industry. For example, in our Asia/Pacific and Latin American regions, we have now experienced several quarters of significant increases in dollar volume of activity on cards carrying our brands in those regions while in the U.S. we have observed mixed economic indicators, including retail spending and unemployment rates. Notwithstanding recent encouraging trends, the extent and pace of economic recovery in various regions remains uncertain and the overall business environment may present challenges for MasterCard to grow its business.



## Table of Contents

### Financial Results

Our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Percent Increase (Decrease)
	2011	2010	2011 vs. 2010
	(in millions, except per share, percentages and GDV amounts)		
Revenues, net	\$ 1,501	\$ 1,308	14.8%
Operating Expenses:			
General and administrative	494	458	7.9%
Advertising and marketing	129	115	12.1%
Depreciation and amortization	42	35	19.3%
Total operating expenses	665	608	9.4%
Operating income	836	700	19.4%
Total other income (expense)	—	(5)	*
Income before income taxes	836	695	20.3%
Income tax expense	274	240	14.2%
Net income	562	455	23.6%
Income attributable to non-controlling interests	—	—	*
Net Income Attributable to MasterCard	\$ 562	\$ 455	23.6%
Basic Earnings per Share	\$ 4.31	\$ 3.47	24.2%
Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	130	130	(0.1)%
Diluted Earnings per Share	\$ 4.29	\$ 3.46	24.0%
Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	131	131	(0.1)%
Effective Income Tax Rate	32.8%	34.6%	*
Gross Dollar Volume (“GDV”) on a U.S. dollar Converted Basis (in billions)	\$ 728	\$ 632	15.2%
Processed transactions	5,971	5,373	11.1%

\* Not meaningful.

### Impact of Foreign Currency Rates

Our overall operating results are impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, especially the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro and Brazilian real. The functional currency of MasterCard Europe, our principal European operating subsidiary, is the euro, and the functional currency of our Brazilian subsidiary is the Brazilian real. Accordingly, the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro and Brazilian real impacts the translation of our European and Brazilian subsidiaries’ operating results into the U.S. dollar. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the U.S. dollar strengthened against the euro and weakened against the Brazilian real, versus the comparable period in 2010. The mix of the U.S. dollar strengthening against the euro while weakening against the Brazilian real resulted in a minimal impact on revenue and operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

---

## Table of Contents

In addition, changes in foreign currency exchange rates directly impact the calculation of gross dollar volume and gross euro volume (“GEV”), which are used in the calculation of our domestic assessments, cross-border volume fees and volume related rebates and incentives. In most non-European regions, GDV is calculated based on local currency spending volume converted to U.S. dollars using average exchange rates for the period. In Europe, GEV is calculated based on local currency spending volume converted to euros using average exchange rates for the period. As a result, our domestic assessments, cross-border volume fees and volume related rebates and incentives are impacted by the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar versus most non-European local currencies and the strengthening or weakening of the euro versus European local currencies. The strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar is evident when GDV growth on a U.S. dollar converted basis is compared to GDV growth on a local currency basis. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, GDV increased 15.2% when measured on a U.S. dollar converted basis and increased 12.8% when measured on a local currency basis, versus the comparable period in 2010.

## Revenues

### *Revenue Descriptions*

MasterCard’s business model involves four participants in addition to us: cardholders, merchants, issuers (the cardholders’ banks) and acquirers (the merchants’ banks). Our gross revenues are typically based on the volume of activity on cards that carry our brands, the number of transactions we process for our customers or the nature of other payment-related services we provide to our customers. Our revenues are based upon transactional information accumulated by our systems or reported by our customers. Our primary revenue billing currencies are the U.S. dollar, euro and Brazilian real.

We process transactions denominated in more than 150 currencies through our global system, providing cardholders with the ability to utilize, and merchants to accept, MasterCard cards across multiple country borders. We process most of the cross-border transactions using MasterCard, Maestro and Cirrus-branded cards and, among our largest markets, process the majority of MasterCard-branded domestic transactions in the United States, United Kingdom, Canada and Brazil.

Our pricing is complex and is dependent on the nature of the volumes, types of transactions and other products and services we offer to our customers. A combination of the following factors determines the pricing:

- Domestic or cross-border
- Signature-based (credit and off-line debit) or PIN-based (on-line debit, including automated teller machine (“ATM”) cash withdrawals and retail purchases)
- Tiered pricing, with rates decreasing as customers meet incremental volume/transaction hurdles
- Geographic region or country
- Retail purchase or cash withdrawal

Cross-border transactions generate greater revenue than do domestic transactions since cross-border fees are higher than domestic fees. We review our pricing and implement pricing changes on an ongoing basis and pricing may impact revenue growth in the future. In addition, standard pricing varies among our regional businesses, and such pricing can be customized further for our customers through incentive and rebate agreements.

The Company classifies its net revenues into the following five categories:

1. **Domestic assessments:** Domestic assessments are fees charged to issuers and acquirers based primarily on the volume of activity on cards that carry our brands where the merchant country and the cardholder country are the same. A portion of these assessments is estimated based on aggregate transaction information collected from our systems and projected customer performance and is calculated by converting the aggregate volume of usage (purchases, cash disbursements, balance transfers and convenience checks) from local currency to the billing currency and then multiplying by the specific price. In addition, domestic assessments include items such as card assessments, which are fees charged on the number of cards issued or assessments for specific purposes, such as acceptance development or market development programs. Acceptance development fees are charged primarily to U.S. issuers based on components of volume, and support our focus on developing merchant relationships and promoting acceptance at the point of sale.

---

## Table of Contents

2. **Cross-border volume fees:** Cross-border volume fees are charged to issuers and acquirers based on the volume of activity on cards that carry our brands where the merchant country and the cardholder country are different. Cross-border volume fees are calculated by converting the aggregate volume of usage (purchases and cash disbursements) from local currency to the billing currency and then multiplying by the specific price. Cross-border volume fees also include fees, charged to issuers, for performing currency conversion services.
3. **Transaction processing fees:** Transaction processing fees are charged for both domestic and cross-border transactions and are primarily based on the number of transactions. These fees are calculated by multiplying the number and type of transactions by the specific price for each service. Transaction processing fees include charges for the following:
  - *Transaction Switching – Authorization, Clearing and Settlement.*
    - a. *Authorization* refers to a process in which a transaction is approved by the issuer or, in certain circumstances such as when the issuer's systems are unavailable or cannot be contacted, by MasterCard or others on behalf of the issuer in accordance with either the issuer's instructions or applicable rules. MasterCard's rules, which vary across regions, establish the circumstances under which merchants and acquirers must seek authorization of transactions. Fees for authorization are primarily paid by issuers.
    - b. *Clearing* refers to the exchange of financial transaction information between issuers and acquirers after a transaction has been completed. Fees for clearing are primarily paid by issuers.
    - c. *Settlement* refers to facilitating the exchange of funds between parties. Fees for settlement are primarily paid by issuers.
  - *Connectivity fees* are charged to issuers and acquirers for network access, equipment and the transmission of authorization and settlement messages. These fees are based on the size of the data being transmitted through and the number of connections to the Company's network.
4. **Other revenues :** Other revenues for other payment-related services are primarily dependent on the nature of the products or services provided to our customers but are also impacted by other factors, such as contractual agreements. Examples of other revenues are fees associated with the following:
  - *Fraud products and services* used to prevent or detect fraudulent transactions. This includes warning bulletin fees which are charged to issuers and acquirers for listing invalid or fraudulent accounts either electronically or in paper form and for distributing this listing to merchants.
  - *Cardholder services fees* are for benefits provided with MasterCard-branded cards, such as insurance, telecommunications assistance for lost cards and locating automated teller machines.
  - *Consulting and research fees* are primarily generated by MasterCard Advisors, the Company's professional advisory services group. The Company's business agreements with certain customers and merchants may include consulting services as an incentive. The contra-revenue associated with these incentives is included in rebates and incentives.
  - The Company also charges for a variety of other payment-related services, including compliance and penalty fees, account and transaction enhancement services, holograms and publications.
5. **Rebates and incentives (contra-revenue):** Rebates and incentives are provided to certain MasterCard customers and are recorded as contra-revenue in the same period that performance occurs. Performance periods vary depending on the type of rebate or incentive, including commitments to the agreement term, hurdles for volumes, transactions or issuance of new cards, launch of new programs, or the execution of marketing programs. Rebates and incentives are calculated based on estimated performance, the timing of new and renewed agreements and the terms of the related business agreements.

## Table of Contents

### Revenue Analysis

Gross revenues increased \$230 million, or 13.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to increased dollar volume of activity on cards carrying our brands and increased transactions. Rebates and incentives increased \$37 million, or 8.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010. Our net revenues increased 14.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010.

Our revenues are primarily based on transactions and volumes, which are impacted by the number of transactions and the dollar amount of each transaction. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, our processed transactions increased 11.1% and our volumes increased 12.8% on a local currency basis. The effects of pricing actions implemented in 2010 and 2011 contributed approximately 5 percentage points to our net revenue growth for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The pricing structure for our acquirer revenues from cross-border transactions was simplified in the fourth quarter of 2010. Pursuant to the previous structure, MasterCard charged a cross-border volume fee but provided a rebate if MasterCard was allowed to perform the currency conversion. Beginning in October 2010, if MasterCard performs the currency conversion, the cross border volume fee charged is lower and no rebate is provided. This change had no impact to net revenues.

The significant components of our net revenues were as follows for the three months ended March 31:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Dollar Increase (Decrease)	Percent Increase (Decrease)
	2011	2010 (in millions, except percentages)	2011 vs. 2010	2011 vs. 2010
Domestic assessments	\$ 723	\$ 587	\$ 136	23.2%
Cross-border volume fees	462	446	16	3.6%
Transaction processing fees	590	509	81	15.8%
Other revenues	203	206	(3)	(1.7)%
Gross revenues	1,978	1,748	230	13.1%
Rebates and incentives (contra-revenues)	(477)	(440)	(37)	8.3%
Net revenues	<u>\$1,501</u>	<u>\$1,308</u>	<u>\$ 193</u>	14.8%

*Domestic assessments* – There was an increase in domestic assessments of 23.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to:

- GDV increased 12.8% during the three months ended March 31, 2011 when measured in local currency terms, and increased 15.2% when measured on a U.S. dollar-converted basis, versus 2010.
- Pricing changes, primarily those implemented in April 2010, increased domestic assessments by approximately 8 percentage points for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

*Cross-border volume fees* – There was an increase in cross-border volume fees of 3.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to:

- Cross-border volumes increased 18.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 when measured in local currency terms, and increased 21.3% when measured on a U.S. dollar-converted basis, versus the comparable period in 2010.
- Pricing changes reduced cross-border revenue by approximately 14 percentage points for the three months ended March 31, 2011. This decrease due to pricing changes included approximately 17 percentage points related to the pricing structure change implemented in October 2010 and was partially offset by pricing increases implemented during 2010.

## Table of Contents

*Transaction processing fees* – There was an increase in transaction processing fees of 15.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to:

- Processed transactions increased 11.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010.
- The effects of transaction processing activities for which revenues are not driven by the number of switching transactions also contributed to the growth in transaction processing fees.

*Other revenues* – Other revenues decreased 1.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to a decrease in compliance and penalty fees compared to the comparable period in 2010, partially offset by increased fees for consulting and other services.

*Rebates and incentives* – The increase in rebates and incentives of 8.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010 was primarily due to:

- Higher rebates and incentives were driven by certain new and renewed agreements as well as increased performance. The Company intends to continue to enter into and maintain business agreements that provide rebates and incentives to certain customers and merchants.
- Pricing changes reduced rebates and incentives growth by approximately 16 percentage points primarily due to the pricing structure change implemented in October 2010.

## Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses are comprised of general and administrative, advertising and marketing, litigation settlements and depreciation and amortization expenses. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, operating expenses increased \$57 million, or 9.4%, versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to greater general and administrative expenses, including expenses related to a recent acquisition, and advertising and marketing expenses.

### General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses increased \$36 million, or 7.9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010. The major components of general and administrative expenses were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Dollar Increase (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010	Percent Increase (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010
	2011	2010 (in millions, except percentages)		
Personnel	\$ 333	\$ 315	\$ 18	5.7%
Professional fees	52	35	17	46.6%
Telecommunications	15	15	—	1.5%
Data processing	25	22	3	12.5%
Travel and entertainment	19	13	6	48.2%
Other	50	58	(8)	(12.5)%
General and administrative expenses	<u>\$ 494</u>	<u>\$ 458</u>	<u>\$ 36</u>	7.9%

- Personnel expense increased 5.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher salaries and benefits costs, including increased compensation and number of employees in support of the Company's strategic initiatives. The increase was partially offset by lower employment taxes relating to the vesting of equity compensation awards.

---

## Table of Contents

- Professional fees consist primarily of third-party consulting services related to strategic initiatives and legal costs to defend our outstanding litigation. Professional fees increased 46.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to the execution and integration of acquisitions, e-Commerce initiatives and other strategic opportunities.
- Telecommunications expense consists of expenses to support our global payments system infrastructure as well as our other telecommunication needs. These expenses vary with business volume growth, system upgrades and usage.
- Data processing consists of expenses to operate and maintain MasterCard's computer systems. These expenses vary with business volume growth, system upgrades and usage.
- Travel and entertainment expenses are incurred primarily for travel to customer and regional meetings. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, these expenses increased 48.2% reflecting business development efforts.
- Other expenses include rental expense for our facilities, foreign exchange gains and losses and other miscellaneous administrative expenses. The decrease in 2011 includes lower net expenses from foreign currency remeasurement and foreign exchange risk management.

### ***Advertising and Marketing***

Our brands, principally MasterCard, are valuable strategic assets that drive card acceptance and usage and facilitate our ability to successfully introduce new service offerings and access new markets globally. Our advertising and marketing strategy is to increase global MasterCard brand awareness, preference and usage through integrated advertising, sponsorship, promotional, interactive media and public relations programs on a global scale. We will also continue to invest in marketing programs at the regional and local levels and sponsor diverse events aimed at multiple target audiences. Advertising and marketing expenses increased \$14 million, or 12.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, mainly due to customer-specific initiatives and sponsorships.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$7 million, or 19.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010. The increases in depreciation and amortization expense reflected the amortization of intangible assets from a recent acquisition and increased investments in data center equipment.

## Table of Contents

### Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) is comprised primarily of investment income, interest expense and other gains and losses. The components of other income (expense) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Dollar Increase (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010	Percent Increase (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010
	2011	2010		
	(in millions, except percentages)			
Investment income	\$ 12	\$ 10	\$ 2	27.8%
Interest expense	(10)	(15)	(5)	(37.1)%
Other income (expense), net	(2)	—	(2)	*
Total other income (expense)	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (5)</u>	\$ 5	*

\* Not meaningful.

- Investment income increased \$2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to an increase in interest income.
- Interest expense decreased \$5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to a decrease in interest accretion on litigation settlements.
- Other income (expense), net decreased \$2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 versus the comparable period in 2010, primarily due to losses on equity method investments.

### Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates were 32.8% and 34.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was lower than the rate for the three months ended March 31, 2010 due primarily to a more favorable geographic mix of earnings and benefits recognized during the quarter with regard to the anticipated repatriation from a foreign subsidiary, partially offset by unrecognized tax benefits relating to positions taken during the current and prior periods.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We need liquidity and access to capital to fund our global operations, to provide for credit and settlement risk, to finance capital expenditures, to make continued investments in our business and to service our obligations related to litigation settlements. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$3.8 billion and \$3.9 billion, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and current available-for-sale securities to use for our operations. Total equity was \$5.2 billion as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

On December 9, 2010, MasterCard entered into an agreement to acquire CPM. Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, the Company acquired CPM on April 15, 2011 at a purchase price of approximately 295 million U.K. pound sterling, or approximately \$481 million, including adjustments for working capital, with contingent consideration of up to an additional 35 million U.K. pound sterling, or approximately \$57 million, if certain performance targets are met.

In September 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a plan for the Company to repurchase up to \$1 billion of its Class A common stock in open market transactions. The Company did not repurchase any shares under this plan during 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, MasterCard repurchased a total of approximately 2.6 million shares, for an aggregate of \$654 million and at an average price of \$248.51 per share of Class A common stock. These repurchased shares are considered treasury stock.

## Table of Contents

In April 2011, the Company's Board of Directors amended the existing share repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase an incremental \$1 billion of its Class A common stock in open market transactions. The incremental \$1 billion share repurchase authorization increases the Class A share repurchase program, announced in September 2010, to an aggregate of \$2 billion.

As of April 28, 2011, the Company had completed the repurchase of approximately 3.9 million shares of its Class A common stock at a cost of approximately \$1 billion. The timing and actual number of additional shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including legal requirements, price and economic and market conditions.

We believe that the cash generated from operations, our borrowing capacity and our access to capital resources are sufficient to meet our future operating capital needs and litigation settlement obligations. Our liquidity and access to capital could be negatively impacted by the adverse outcome of any of the legal or regulatory proceedings to which we are still a party. See Item 1A (Risk Factors) in Part 1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. See also Notes 16 (Obligations Under Litigation Settlements) and 18 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report and "-Business Environment" for more information.

The table below shows a summary of the cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Cash Flow Data:		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 355	\$ 95
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	135	(23)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(665)	77
	March 31,	December 31,
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Balance Sheet Data:		
Current assets	\$ 6,073	\$ 6,454
Current liabilities	2,791	3,143
Long-term liabilities	509	478
Equity	5,202	5,216

Net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$355 million versus \$95 million for the comparable period in 2010. Net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was primarily due to net income partially offset by litigation settlement payments, the net change in customer settlements and a reduction in accrued expenses. Net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily due to net income partially offset by litigation settlement payments, a reduction in accrued expenses and the effect of stock units withheld for taxes.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily related to proceeds from maturities of investment securities. Net cash used for investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 primarily related to expenditures for our global network.

Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 primarily related to the repurchase of the company's common stock. Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2010 primarily related to the tax benefit for share-based compensation partially offset by the payment of \$20 million in dividends to our stockholders.



---

## Table of Contents

### *Dividends*

On February 8, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per share payable on May 9, 2011 to holders of record on April 8, 2011 of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock. The aggregate amount of this dividend is \$20 million. The declaration and payment of future dividends will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors after taking into account various factors, including our financial condition, settlement guarantees, operating results, available cash and anticipated cash needs.

### *Credit Availability*

On November 22, 2010, the Company entered into a committed three-year unsecured \$2.75 billion revolving credit facility (the “Credit Facility”) with certain financial institutions. The Credit Facility, which expires on November 22, 2013, replaced the Company’s prior credit facility which was to expire on April 26, 2011. Borrowings under the Credit Facility are available to provide liquidity for general corporate purposes, including providing liquidity in the event of one or more settlement failures by our customers. In addition, for business continuity planning and related purposes, we may borrow and repay amounts under the Credit Facility from time to time. The facility fee and borrowing cost under the Credit Facility are contingent upon our credit rating. At March 31, 2011, the applicable facility fee was 20 basis points on the average daily commitment (whether or not utilized). In addition to the facility fee, interest on borrowings under the Credit Facility would be charged at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin of 130 basis points or an alternate base rate plus 30 basis points.

The Credit Facility contains customary representations, warranties and affirmative and negative covenants, including a maximum level of consolidated debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) financial covenant and events of default. MasterCard was in compliance with the covenants of the Credit Facility and had no borrowings under the Credit Facility at March 31, 2011. The majority of Credit Facility lenders are members or affiliates of members of MasterCard International.

## Table of Contents

### Future Obligations

The following table summarizes our obligations as of March 31, 2011 that are expected to impact liquidity and cash flow in future periods in addition to our agreement to purchase CPM (see - “Overview”). We believe we will be able to fund these obligations through cash generated from operations and our cash balances.

	Payments Due by Period				
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Remaining 2011</u>	<u>2012-2013 (in millions)</u>	<u>2014-2015</u>	<u>2016 and thereafter</u>
Capital leases <sup>1</sup>	\$ 48	\$ 3	\$ 45	\$ —	\$ —
Operating leases <sup>2</sup>	98	22	41	20	15
Sponsorship, licensing and other <sup>3,4</sup>	413	207	184	21	1
Litigation settlements <sup>5</sup>	158	154	4	—	—
Debt <sup>6</sup>	21	21	—	—	—
Total	<u>\$738</u>	<u>\$ 407</u>	<u>\$ 274</u>	<u>\$ 41</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>

- <sup>1</sup> Mostly related to certain property, plant and equipment. Capital lease for global technology and operations center located in O’Fallon, Missouri has been excluded from this table; see Note 9 (Property, Plant and Equipment) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for further discussion. There is a capital lease for the Kansas City, Missouri co-processing data center.
- <sup>2</sup> We enter into operating leases in the normal course of business. Substantially all lease agreements have fixed payment terms based on the passage of time. Some lease agreements provide us with the option to renew the lease or purchase the leased property. Our future operating lease obligations would change if we exercised these renewal options and if we entered into additional lease agreements.
- <sup>3</sup> Amounts primarily relate to sponsorships with certain organizations to promote the MasterCard brand. The amounts included are fixed and non-cancelable. In addition, these amounts include amounts due in accordance with merchant agreements for future marketing, computer hardware maintenance, software licenses and other service agreements. Future cash payments that will become due to our customers under agreements which provide pricing rebates on our standard fees and other incentives in exchange for transaction volumes are not included in the table because the amounts due are indeterminable and contingent until such time as performance has occurred. MasterCard has accrued \$706 million as of March 31, 2011 related to customer and merchant agreements.
- <sup>4</sup> Includes current liability of \$4 million relating to the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. Due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of the non-current liabilities for uncertainties in income taxes, we are unable to make reasonable estimates of the period of cash settlements with the respective taxing authority.
- <sup>5</sup> Represents amounts due in accordance with the American Express Settlement and other litigation settlements. The American Express Settlement requires one remaining quarterly payment of \$150 million.
- <sup>6</sup> Debt primarily represents amounts due for the acquisition of MasterCard France.

### ***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

***Revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables*** – In September 2009, the accounting standard for the allocation of revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables was amended. Existing accounting standards require companies to allocate revenue based on the fair value of each deliverable, even though such deliverables may not be sold separately either by the company itself or other vendors. The new accounting standard eliminates (i) the residual method of revenue allocation and (ii) the requirement that all undelivered elements must have objective and reliable evidence of fair value before a company can recognize the portion of the overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. The Company adopted the revised accounting standard effective January 1, 2011 via prospective adoption. The adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

***Fair value disclosures*** – The Company measures certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis by estimating the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When valuing liabilities, the Company also considers the Company's creditworthiness. The Company classifies these recurring fair value measurements into a three-level hierarchy ("Valuation Hierarchy") and discloses the significant assumptions utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. In January 2010, fair value disclosure requirements were amended to require detailed disclosures about transfers to and from Level 1 and 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy effective January 1, 2010 and disclosures regarding purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a "gross" basis within the Level 3 (of the Valuation Hierarchy) reconciliation effective January 1, 2011. The Company adopted the new guidance for disclosures about transfers to and from Level 1 and 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy effective January 1, 2010. The adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company adopted the guidance that requires disclosure of a reconciliation of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a "gross" basis within Level 3 (of the Valuation Hierarchy) effective January 1, 2011, as required, and the adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

***Impairment testing for goodwill*** – In December 2010, a new accounting standard was issued. This standard requires Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test to be performed for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts if qualitative factors indicate that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. The provisions for this pronouncement are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, with no early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this accounting standard on January 1, 2011, and the adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

***Business combinations*** – In December 2010, a new accounting standard was issued. This standard requires a company to disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period, only when comparative financial statements are presented. The disclosure provisions are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this accounting standard on January 1, 2011 for prospective application. The adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Market risk is the potential for economic losses to be incurred on market risk sensitive instruments arising from adverse changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity price risk. We have limited exposure to market risk from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity price risk. Management establishes and oversees the implementation of policies governing our funding, investments and use of derivative financial instruments. We monitor risk exposures on an ongoing basis. There were no material changes in our market risk exposures at March 31, 2011 as compared to December 31, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act includes provisions related to derivative instruments and the Company is determining what impact, if any, such provisions will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures*****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

MasterCard Incorporated's management, including its President and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Based on that evaluation, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that MasterCard Incorporated's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report at the reasonable assurance level to accomplish their objectives of (i) recording, processing, summarizing and reporting information that is required to be disclosed in its reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) ensuring that information required to be disclosed in such reports is accumulated and communicated to MasterCard Incorporated's management, including its President and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

***Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

In connection with the evaluation by the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer of changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter, no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting was identified that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Other Financial Information**

With respect to the unaudited consolidated financial information of MasterCard Incorporated and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their report dated May 3, 2011 appearing below, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Act”) for their report on the unaudited consolidated financial information because that report is not a “report” or a “part” of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders  
of MasterCard Incorporated:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of MasterCard Incorporated and its subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of March 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statement of operations and consolidated condensed statement of comprehensive income for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statement of cash flows for each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statement of changes in equity for the three month period ended March 31, 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial information for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statement of operations, of comprehensive income (loss), of changes in equity, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 18, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York  
May 3, 2011

**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**FORM 10-Q**

**PART II — OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Refer to Note 18 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 herein.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

For a discussion of the Company's risk factors, see the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

**ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

In September 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a plan for the Company to repurchase up to \$1 billion of its Class A common stock in open market transactions. The Company did not repurchase any shares under this plan during 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, MasterCard repurchased a total of approximately 2.6 million shares, for an aggregate of \$654 million and at an average price of \$248.51 per share of Class A common stock. The Company's activity during the first quarter of 2011 consisted of open market share repurchases and is summarized in the following table:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (including commission cost)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as	Dollar Value of Shares that may yet
			Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	be Purchased under the Plans or Programs (1)
January 1 – 31	—	—	—	\$ 1,000,000,000
February 1 – 28	848,019	\$ 251.63	848,019	\$ 786,615,859
March 1 - 31	1,782,991	\$ 247.02	1,782,991	\$ 346,173,670
Total	<u>2,631,010</u>	<u>\$ 248.51</u>	<u>2,631,010</u>	

(1) Dollar value for shares that may yet be purchased under the Repurchase Program is as of the end of the period.

In April 2011, the Company's Board of Directors amended the existing share repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase an incremental \$1 billion of its Class A common stock in open market transactions. The incremental \$1 billion share repurchase authorization increases the Class A share repurchase program, announced in September 2010, to an aggregate of \$2 billion.

As of April 28, 2011, the Company had completed the repurchase of approximately 3.9 million shares of its Class A common stock at a cost of approximately \$1 billion.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Refer to the Exhibit Index included herein.

## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

1. **MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
 2. *(Registrant)*

Date: May 3, 2011

By: / s / A JAY B ANGA  
**Ajay Banga**  
**President and Chief Executive Officer**  
**(Principal Executive Officer)**

Date: May 3, 2011

By: / s / M ARTINA H UND -M EJEAN  
**Martina Hund-Mejean**  
**Chief Financial Officer**  
**(Principal Financial Officer)**

Date: May 3, 2011

By: / s / M ELISSA J. B ALLENGER  
Melissa J. Ballenger  
Corporate Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)



**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b><u>Exhibit Number</u></b>	<b><u>Exhibit Description</u></b>
10.1+	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Awards under 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (effective for awards granted on and subsequent to March 1, 2011).
10.2+	Form of Stock Option Agreement for Awards under 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (effective for awards granted on and subsequent to March 1, 2011).
10.3+	Form of Performance Unit Agreement for Awards under 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (effective for awards granted on and subsequent to March 1, 2011).
10.4+	Description of Employment Arrangement with Walter Macnee.
10.5*	Omnibus Agreement Regarding Interchange Litigation Judgment Sharing and Settlement Sharing, dated as of February 7, 2011, by and among MasterCard Incorporated, MasterCard International Incorporated, Visa Inc., Visa U.S.A. Inc., Visa International Service Association and MasterCard's customer banks that are parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 24, 2011 (File No. 001-32877)).
10.6*	MasterCard Settlement and Judgment Sharing Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2011, by and among MasterCard Incorporated, MasterCard International Incorporated and MasterCard's customer banks that are parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 24, 2011 (File No. 001-32877)).
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
15	Awareness Letter from the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification of Ajay Banga, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Martina Hund-Mejean, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Ajay Banga, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Martina Hund-Mejean, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
+	Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.
*	The Company has applied for confidential treatment of portions of this exhibit. Accordingly, portions have been omitted and filed separately with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and should not be relied upon for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by the Company in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

## FORM OF RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, dated as of [ ], (“Grant Date”) is between MasterCard Incorporated, a Delaware Corporation (“Company”), and you (“Employee”). Capitalized terms that are used but not defined in this Agreement have the meanings given to them in the 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (“Plan”).

WHEREAS, the Company has established the Plan, the terms of which Plan, but not the standard terms and conditions of Section 9.4 of such Plan, are made a part hereof;

WHEREAS, the Human Resources Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (“Committee”) has approved this grant under the terms of the Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Grant of Units.

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and of the Plan, the Company hereby grants to you the number of Units reflected in your grant statement, the terms of which statement are incorporated as a part of this Agreement. The Units comprising this award will be recorded in an unfunded Units account in your name maintained on the books of the Company (“Account”). Each Unit represents the right to receive one share of the Company’s \$0.0001 par value Class A Common Stock (“Common Shares”) under the terms and conditions set forth below.

2. Vesting Schedule.

(a) Subject to (b) and (c) below, the interest of the Employee in the Units shall vest on [ ], conditioned upon the Employee’s continued employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer as of [ ].

(b) In the event that the Employee’s employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates by reason of the Employee’s death following the Grant Date, 100 percent of the Employee’s then unvested Units shall vest. In the event the Employee’s employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates due to Disability or Retirement more than six months after the Grant Date, unvested Units shall continue to vest as if there had been no termination of employment and shall be paid as set forth in section 6(a). In the event Employee’s employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates for any other reason, unvested Units shall be forfeited.

(c) In the event that the Employee’s employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer, or successor thereto, is terminated (within the meaning of Code section 409A) without Cause or by the Employee with Good Reason, six months preceding or two years following a Change in Control, 100 percent of the Employee’s then unvested Units shall vest.

---

### 3. Transfer Restrictions.

The Units granted hereunder may not be sold, assigned, margined, transferred, encumbered, conveyed, gifted, hypothecated, pledged, or otherwise disposed of and may not be subject to lien, garnishment, attachment or other legal process, except as expressly permitted by the Plan.

### 4. Stockholder Rights.

Prior to the time that Employee's Units vest and the Company has issued Common Shares relating to such Units, Employee will not be deemed to be the holder of, or have any of the rights of a holder with respect to, any Common Shares deliverable with respect to such Units. Specifically, and without limiting the foregoing, Employee shall not be entitled to dividends or dividend equivalents prior to being issued Common Shares.

### 5. Changes in Stock.

In the event of any change in the number and kind of outstanding stock by reason of any recapitalization, reorganization, merger, consolidation, stock split or any similar change affecting the Common Shares (other than a dividend payable in Common Shares) the Company shall make an appropriate adjustment in the number and terms of the Units credited to the Employee's Account as provided in the Plan.

### 6. Form and Timing of Payment.

(a) The Company shall pay within 60 days of the [ ], vesting date set forth in section 2(a) above, a number of Common Shares equal to the aggregate number of vested Units credited to the Employee as of vesting.

(b) In the event of vesting under section 2(b) above due to an Employee's death, payment shall be made within 60 days following death.

(c) In the event of vesting under section 2(c) above due to termination in connection with a Change in Control, payment shall be made on the first business day which is at least six months following the termination or at such later date permitted under Code section 409A.

### 7. Compliance with Law.

No Common Shares will be delivered to Employee in accordance with section 6 above unless counsel for the Company is satisfied that such delivery will be in compliance with all applicable laws.

### 8. Death of Employee.

In the event of the Employee's death, where the death results in vesting and payment of Units under section 2(b) above, payment shall be made to the Employee's estate or beneficiary.

---

#### 9. Taxes.

The Employee shall be liable for any and all taxes, including withholding taxes, arising out of this grant or the issuance of the Common Shares on vesting of Units hereunder. The Company is authorized to deduct from the total number of Common Shares Employee is to receive on settlement of the Units the total value equal to the amount necessary to satisfy any such withholding obligation at the minimum applicable withholding rate, or to obtain withholdings in any other method permitted by the Plan. To the extent necessary to meet any obligation to withhold Federal Insurance Contributions Act taxes before settlement of the Units, the Company is authorized to deduct those taxes from other current wages.

#### 10. Discretionary Nature of Plan.

Employee acknowledges and agrees that the Plan is discretionary in nature and may be amended, cancelled, or terminated by the Company, in its sole discretion, at any time. The grant of Units under the Plan is a one-time benefit and does not create any contractual or other right to receive a grant of Units, other types of grants under the Plan, or benefits in lieu of such grants in the future. Future grants, if any, will be at the sole discretion of the Company, including, but not limited to, the timing of any grant, the number of Units granted and vesting provisions.

#### 11. Data Authorization.

Pursuant to applicable Data Protection laws, the Employee's personal data will be collected and used as necessary for the Company's administration of the Plan and Employee's participation in the Plan. Employee's denial and/or objection to the collection, processing and transfer of personal data may affect Employee's participation in the Plan. As such, Employee voluntarily acknowledges and consents (where required under applicable law) to the collection, use, processing and transfer of personal data as described herein.

As part of the Company's administration of the Plan, the Company and the Affiliated Employer may hold certain personal information about Employee, including Employee's name, home address and telephone number, date of birth, social security number or other employee identification number, salary, nationality, job title, any shares of Common Stock or directorships held in the Company, details of all options, units or any other entitlement to shares of Common Stock awarded, canceled, purchased, vested, unvested or outstanding in Employee's favor. This information is held for the purpose of managing and administering the Plan ("Data").

The Data may be provided by Employee or collected, where lawful, from third parties, and the Company or the Affiliated Employer will process the Data for the exclusive purpose of implementing, administering and managing Employee's participation in the Plan. Data processing will take place through electronic and non-electronic means as necessary to administer the plan and will be handled in conformance with the confidentiality and security provisions as set forth by applicable laws and regulations in Employee's country of residence (and country of employment, if different). The Data will

be accessible within the Company's organization only by those persons requiring access for purposes of the implementation, administration and operation of the Plan and for Employee's participation in the Plan.

The Company and the Affiliated Employer may transfer Data amongst themselves as necessary for the purpose of implementation, administration and management of Employee's participation in the Plan, and the Company and the Affiliated Employer may each further transfer Data to any third parties assisting the Company in the implementation, administration and management of the Plan. Please note these entities may be located in the European Economic Area, the United States or elsewhere in the world. Employee hereby authorizes (where required under applicable law) these parties to receive, possess, use, retain and transfer the Data, in electronic or other form, for purposes of implementing, administering and managing Employee's participation in the Plan. This includes any requisite transfer of such Data as may be required for the administration of the Plan and/or the subsequent holding of shares of Common Stock on Employee's behalf to a broker or other third party with whom Employee may elect to deposit any shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to the Plan.

Employee may, at any time, exercise Employee's rights provided under applicable personal data protection laws. These rights may include (a) obtain confirmation as to the existence of the Data, (b) verify the content, origin and accuracy of the Data, (c) request the integration, update, amendment, deletion, or blockage of the Data, (d) oppose, for legal reasons, the collection, processing or transfer of the Data which is not necessary or required for the implementation, administration and/or operation of the Plan and Employee's participation in the Plan, and (e) withdraw Employee's consent to the collection, processing or transfer of Data as provided hereunder (in which case, Employee's Award will be null and void). Employee may seek to exercise these rights by contacting the Employee's local Human Resources manager or the Company's Human Resources Department.

#### 12. Consent to On-Line Grant and Acceptance.

Employee acknowledges and agrees that, as a term of this grant of Units, any grant, communication, or acceptance of such grant, if applicable, is permitted to be made and processed through the online system operated and maintained for this purpose. Employee further acknowledges and agrees that execution of any documents through such system shall have the same force and effect as if executed in writing.

#### 13. Section 409A.

To the extent the Company determines that this agreement is subject to Code section 409A, but does not conform with the requirements of Code section 409A the Company may at its sole discretion amend or replace the agreement to cause the agreement to comply with Code section 409A. The agreement shall be construed and administered consistent with Code section 409A or an exemption from Code section 409A.

---

14. Miscellaneous.

(a) All amounts credited to the Employee's Account under this Agreement shall continue for all purposes to be a part of the general assets of the Company. The Employee's interest in the Account shall make the Employee only a general, unsecured creditor of the Company.

(b) The parties agree to execute such further instruments and to take such action as may reasonably be necessary to carry out the intent of this Agreement.

(c) Any notice required or permitted hereunder that is not covered by section 12 above, shall be given in writing and shall be deemed effectively given upon delivery to the Employee at the address then on file with the Company or upon delivery to the Company at 2000 Purchase Street, Purchase, New York 10577, Attn: Group Head, Global Rewards.

(d) Neither the Plan nor this Agreement nor any provisions under either shall be construed so as to grant the Employee any right to remain in the employ of the Company.

(e) This Agreement, along with the incorporated grant letter, constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name:  
Title:

## FORM OF STOCK OPTION AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, dated as of [ ], (“Grant Date”) is between MasterCard Incorporated, a Delaware Corporation (“Company”), and you (“Employee”). Capitalized terms that are used but not defined in this Agreement have the meanings given to them in the 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (“Plan”).

WHEREAS, the Company has established the Plan, the terms of which Plan, but not the standard terms and conditions of Section 6.4 of such Plan, are made a part hereof;

WHEREAS, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (“Committee”) has approved this grant under the terms of the Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Grant of Stock Options.

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and of the Plan, the Company hereby grants to you a nonqualified stock option (“Stock Option”) to purchase from time to time all or any part of the number of common shares of the Company’s Class A Common Stock (“Common Shares”) reflected in your grant statement, the terms of which grant statement are incorporated as part of this Agreement, at a price per share equal to 100 percent of the Fair Market Value of the Common Shares (the closing price) on the Grant Date.

2. Exercise.

This Stock Option is exercisable from the date and to the extent that the Employee’s interest in the Stock Option is vested, but in no event earlier than six months after the Grant Date, until the date the term of the Stock Option expires under Section 4 below. The Employee’s interest in the Stock Option may be exercised only by delivering notice of exercise, in the form prescribed by the Company, to the Company or its designated agent, and paying the full exercise price for the shares and the full amount of any taxes required to be withheld. The exercise price may be paid by delivery of cash or a certified check, delivery of Common Shares already owned by the Employee, or by delivery of cash by a broker-dealer as a “cashless” exercise. Special rules will apply to the payment of the exercise price by Employees who are subject to Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 16b-3. Common Shares issued on exercise of the Stock Option shall be unrestricted Common Shares.

3. Vesting.

(a) Subject to (b) and (c) below, the interest of the Employee in the Stock Option shall vest 25 percent on each of the first, second, third, and fourth



---

anniversaries of the Grant Date, conditioned upon the Employee's continued employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer as of each vesting date.

(b) In the event that the Employee's employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates by reason of the Employee's death after the grant, 100 percent of the Employee's interest in the Stock Option shall vest. In the event the Employee's employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates due to Disability or Retirement more than six months after the Grant Date, the Employee's interest in the Stock Option shall continue to vest as if there was no termination of Employment. In the event Employee's employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates for any other reason, the Employee's unvested interest in the Stock Option shall be forfeited.

(c) In the event that the Employee's employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer, or successor thereto, is terminated without Cause or by the Employee with Good Reason, six months preceding or two years following a Change in Control, 100 percent of the Employee's then unvested interest in the Stock Option shall vest.

#### 4. Term and Termination.

The Stock Option generally shall expire on the earlier of (i) the tenth anniversary of the Grant Date, or (ii) in the case of a Stock Option that has vested at the time of an Employee's Termination of Employment other than by death, Disability, or Retirement, 120 days from the date of the Employee's Termination of Employment. In the event an Employee's Termination of Employment is due to death, Disability, Retirement, or is in connection with a Change in Control under the circumstances specified in Section 3(c) above, the Stock Option shall expire on the tenth anniversary of the Grant Date. Expiration on a date shall occur as of the closing time of regular trading on the market on which the Company's Common Shares are traded on that date or, if that date is not a date on which such market is open for trading, as of the closing time of regular trading on the market on which the Company's Common Shares are traded on the immediately preceding trading date.

#### 5. Transfer Restrictions.

Other than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution, the Stock Option may not be sold, assigned, margined, transferred, encumbered, conveyed, gifted, hypothecated, pledged, or otherwise disposed of and may not be subject to lien, garnishment, attachment or other legal process, except as expressly permitted by the Plan. During the Employee's lifetime, the Stock Option is exercisable only by the Employee.

#### 6. Stockholder Rights.

Prior to the time that the Company has issued Common Shares on an Employee's exercise of the Employee's interest in his or her Stock Option, Employee will not be

---

deemed to be the holder of, or have any of the rights of a holder with respect to, any Common Shares deliverable with respect to such Stock Option.

7. Changes in Stock.

In the event of any change in the number and kind of outstanding shares of stock by reason of any recapitalization, reorganization, merger, consolidation, stock split or any similar change affecting the Common Shares (other than a dividend payable in Common Shares) the Company shall make an appropriate adjustment in the terms of the Stock Option, which adjustments shall be made in a manner so as to ensure continued exemption from or compliance with Code section 409A.

8. Compliance with Law.

No Common Shares will be delivered to Employee upon the Employee's exercise of his or her interest in the Stock Option unless counsel for the Company is satisfied that such delivery will be in compliance with all applicable laws.

9. Death of Employee.

In the event of the Employee's death, the Stock Option shall be exercisable by the executor or administrator of the Employee's estate or the person to whom the Stock Option has passed by will or the laws of descent and distribution in accordance with Section 5 of this Agreement.

10. Taxes.

The Employee shall be liable for any and all taxes, including withholding taxes, arising out of the transfer of Common Shares on exercise of the Stock Option. The Employee may satisfy such taxes by delivery of cash or a certified check or delivery of cash by a broker-dealer as part of a "cashless" exercise. The Company is authorized to deduct from the total number of Common Shares Employee is to receive on exercise of the Stock Option the total value equal to the amount necessary to satisfy any such withholding obligation at the minimum applicable withholding rate.

11. Discretionary Nature of Plan.

Employee acknowledges and agrees that the Plan is discretionary in nature and may be amended, cancelled, or terminated by the Company, in its sole discretion, at any time. The grant of a Stock Option under the Plan is a one-time benefit and does not create any contractual or other right to receive a grant of a Stock Option, other awards under the Plan, or benefits in lieu of such awards in the future. Future grants, if any, will be at the sole discretion of the Company, including, but not limited to, the timing of any grant, the number of Stock Options granted, the payment of dividend equivalents, and vesting provisions.

12. Data Authorization.

---

Pursuant to applicable Data Protection laws, the Employee's personal data will be collected and used as necessary for the Company's administration of the Plan and Employee's participation in the Plan. Employee's denial and/or objection to the collection, processing and transfer of personal data may affect Employee's participation in the Plan. As such, Employee voluntarily acknowledges and consents (where required under applicable law) to the collection, use, processing and transfer of personal data as described herein.

As part of the Company's administration of the Plan, the Company and the Affiliated Employer may hold certain personal information about Employee, including Employee's name, home address and telephone number, date of birth, social security number or other employee identification number, salary, nationality, job title, any shares of Common Stock or directorships held in the Company, details of all options, units or any other entitlement to shares of Common Stock awarded, canceled, purchased, vested, unvested or outstanding in Employee's favor. This information is held for the purpose of managing and administering the Plan ("Data").

The Data may be provided by Employee or collected, where lawful, from third parties, and the Company or the Affiliated Employer will process the Data for the exclusive purpose of implementing, administering and managing Employee's participation in the Plan. Data processing will take place through electronic and non-electronic means as necessary to administer the plan and will be handled in conformance with the confidentiality and security provisions as set forth by applicable laws and regulations in Employee's country of residence (and country of employment, if different). The Data will be accessible within the Company's organization only by those persons requiring access for purposes of the implementation, administration and operation of the Plan and for Employee's participation in the Plan.

The Company and the Affiliated Employer may transfer Data amongst themselves as necessary for the purpose of implementation, administration and management of Employee's participation in the Plan, and the Company and the Affiliated Employer may each further transfer Data to any third parties assisting the Company in the implementation, administration and management of the Plan. Please note these entities may be located in the European Economic Area, the United States or elsewhere in the world. Employee hereby authorizes (where required under applicable law) these parties to receive, possess, use, retain and transfer the Data, in electronic or other form, for purposes of implementing, administering and managing Employee's participation in the Plan. This includes any requisite transfer of such Data as may be required for the administration of the Plan and/or the subsequent holding of shares of Common Stock on Employee's behalf to a broker or other third party with whom Employee may elect to deposit any shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to the Plan.

Employee may, at any time, exercise Employee's rights provided under applicable personal data protection laws. These rights may include (a) obtain confirmation as to the existence of the Data, (b) verify the content, origin and accuracy of the Data, (c) request the integration, update, amendment, deletion, or blockage of the Data, (d) oppose, for legal reasons, the collection, processing or transfer of the Data which is not necessary or

required for the implementation, administration and/or operation of the Plan and Employee's participation in the Plan, and (e) withdraw Employee's consent to the collection, processing or transfer of Data as provided hereunder (in which case, Employee's Award will be null and void). Employee may seek to exercise these rights by contacting the Employee's local Human Resources manager or the Company's Human Resources Department.

13. Section 409A.

To the extent the Company determines that this agreement is subject to Code section 409A, but does not conform with the requirements of Code section 409A the Company may at its sole discretion amend or replace the agreement to cause the agreement to be exempt from or comply with Code section 409A. The agreement shall be construed and administered consistent with Code section 409A or an exemption from Code section 409A.

14. Consent to On-Line Grant and Acceptance.

Employee acknowledges and agrees that, as a term of this Stock Option grant, any grant, communication, acceptance of such grant, or exercise of such grant, is permitted to be made and processed through the on-line system operated and maintained for this purpose. Employee further acknowledges and agrees that execution of any documents through such system shall have the same force and effect as if executed in writing.

15. Miscellaneous.

(a) The parties agree to execute such further instruments and to take such action as may reasonably be necessary to carry out the intent of this Agreement.

(b) Any notice required or permitted hereunder that is not covered by Section 14 above shall be given in writing and shall be deemed effectively given upon delivery to the Employee at the address then on file with the Company or upon delivery to the Company at 2000 Purchase Street, Purchase, New York 10577, Attn: Group Head, Global Rewards.

(c) Neither the Plan nor this Agreement nor any provisions under either shall be construed so as to grant the Employee any right to remain in the employ of the Company.

(d) This Agreement, along with the incorporated grant letter, constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.

By /s/  
Name:  
Title:

## FORM OF PERFORMANCE UNIT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, dated as of [ ], (“Grant Date”) is between MasterCard Incorporated, a Delaware Corporation (“Company”), and you (“Employee”). Capitalized terms that are used but not defined in this Agreement have the meanings given to them in the 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan (“Plan”).

WHEREAS, the Company has established the Plan, the terms of which Plan, are made a part hereof;

WHEREAS, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (“Committee”) has approved this grant under the terms of the Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Grant of Units.

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement and of the Plan, the Company hereby grants to you the number of Units reflected in your grant statement, the terms of which statement are incorporated as a part of this Agreement. Each Unit represents the right to receive an amount of the Company’s \$0.0001 par value Class A Common Stock (“Common Stock”) that varies depending on the level of performance achieved on specified performance criteria during the performance period [ ], through [ ].

2. Vesting Schedule.

(a) Subject to (b) and (c) below, the interest of the Employee in the Units shall vest on [ ], conditioned upon the Employee’s continued employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer as of [ ], and the achievement of the performance goals established by the Committee and set forth in your grant statement. Vesting in Units is subject to the Committee’s exercise of downward discretion to reduce the amounts earned on achievement of performance goals.

(b) In the event that the Employee’s employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates by reason of the Employee’s death following the Grant Date, 100 percent of the Employee’s then unvested Units shall vest and be payable at a target level of performance. In the event the Employee’s employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates due to Disability or Retirement more than six months after the Grant Date, unvested Units shall continue to vest as if there had been no termination of employment, subject to the achievement of performance goals, and shall be paid as set forth in section 6(a), provided, however, that the Committee shall have discretion to determine at any time during the vesting period that an Employee shall not vest in whole or in part in a particular Unit. In the event Employee’s employment with

---

the Company or an Affiliated Employer terminates for any other reason, unvested Units shall be forfeited.

(c) In the event of a Change in Control, vesting and payment will be as set forth in sections 2(a) and 6(a) to the extent the achievement of performance goals can continue to be measured after the Change in Control. To the extent the achievement of performance goals is no longer capable of measurement following a Change in Control, 100 percent of the Employee's unvested Units shall vest on [ ], conditioned upon the Employee's continued employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer, or successor thereto, as of [ ], and shall be paid at a target level of performance at the time set forth in section 6(a). In the event the Employee's employment with the Company or an Affiliated Employer, or successor thereto, is terminated (within the meaning of Code section 409A) without Cause or by the Employee with Good Reason, six months preceding or two years following a Change in Control, 100 percent of the Employee's then unvested Units shall vest and be payable at a target level of performance.

### 3. Transfer Restrictions.

The Units granted hereunder may not be sold, assigned, margined, transferred, encumbered, conveyed, gifted, hypothecated, pledged, or otherwise disposed of and may not be subject to lien, garnishment, attachment or other legal process, except as expressly permitted by the Plan.

### 4. Stockholder Rights.

Prior to the time that Employee's Units vest and the Company has issued Common Shares relating to such Units, Employee will not be deemed to be the holder of, or have any of the rights of a holder with respect to, any Common Shares deliverable with respect to such Units. Specifically, and without limiting the foregoing, Employee shall not be entitled to dividends or dividend equivalents prior to being issued Common Shares.

### 5. Changes in Stock.

In the event of any change in the number and kind of outstanding stock by reason of any recapitalization, reorganization, merger, consolidation, stock split or any similar change affecting the Common Shares (other than a dividend payable in Common Shares) the Company shall make an appropriate adjustment in the number and terms of the Units credited to the Employee's Account as provided in the Plan.

### 6. Form and Timing of Payment.

(a) The Company shall pay within 60 days following the [ ], vesting date set forth in section 2(a) above, a number of Common Shares equal to the aggregate number of Units determined to have been earned.

---

(b) In the event of vesting under section 2(b) above due to an Employee's death, payment shall be made within 60 days following death.

(c) In the event of vesting under section 2(c) above due to termination in connection with a Change in Control, payment shall be made on the first business day which is at least six months after the date of termination or at such later date permitted under Code section 409A.

7. Compliance with Law.

No Common Shares will be delivered to Employee in accordance with section 6 above unless counsel for the Company is satisfied that such delivery will be in compliance with all applicable laws.

8. Death of Employee.

In the event of the Employee's death, where the death results in vesting and payment of Units under section 2(b) above, payment shall be made to the Employee's estate or beneficiary.

9. Recoupment Policy.

In the event of a restatement of materially inaccurate financial results, the Committee has the discretion to recover from you stock or cash equal to the value of the stock issued on settlement of these Units to the extent the vesting schedule of the Units under section 2(a) includes all or part of the period covered by the restatement. If the amount that would have vested based on achievement of performance goals would have been lower had the achievement of applicable financial performance targets been calculated based on such restated financial results, the Committee may, if it determines appropriate in its sole discretion, to the extent permitted by law, recover from you stock or cash equal to the portion of the stock issued in excess of the amount that would have been paid based on the restated financial results. A recovery under this section 9 can be made by withholding compensation otherwise due to you. The Company will not seek to recover amounts paid under this Agreement more than three years after the date the Company files the report with the Securities and Exchange Commission that contained the incorrect financial results. This Recoupment Policy is in addition to, and not in lieu of, any requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and shall apply notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement or in the Plan

10. Taxes.

The Employee shall be liable for any and all taxes, including withholding taxes, arising out of this grant or the issuance of the Common Shares on vesting of Units hereunder. The Company is authorized to deduct from the total number of Common Shares Employee is to receive on settlement of the Units the total value equal to the amount necessary to satisfy any such withholding obligation at the minimum applicable withholding rate, or to obtain withholdings in any other method permitted by the Plan. To the extent necessary to meet any obligation to withhold Federal Insurance



---

Contributions Act taxes before settlement of the Units, the Company is authorized to deduct those taxes from other current wages.

#### 11. Discretionary Nature of Plan.

Employee acknowledges and agrees that the Plan is discretionary in nature and may be amended, cancelled, or terminated by the Company, in its sole discretion, at any time. The grant of Units under the Plan is a one-time benefit and does not create any contractual or other right to receive a grant of Units, other types of grants under the Plan, or benefits in lieu of such grants in the future. Future grants, if any, will be at the sole discretion of the Company, including, but not limited to, the timing of any grant, the number of Units granted and vesting provisions.

#### 12. Data Authorization.

Pursuant to applicable Data Protection laws, the Employee's personal data will be collected and used as necessary for the Company's administration of the Plan and Employee's participation in the Plan. Employee's denial and/or objection to the collection, processing and transfer of personal data may affect Employee's participation in the Plan. As such, Employee voluntarily acknowledges and consents (where required under applicable law) to the collection, use, processing and transfer of personal data as described herein.

As part of the Company's administration of the Plan, the Company and the Affiliated Employer may hold certain personal information about Employee, including Employee's name, home address and telephone number, date of birth, social security number or other employee identification number, salary, nationality, job title, any shares of Common Stock or directorships held in the Company, details of all options, units or any other entitlement to shares of Common Stock awarded, canceled, purchased, vested, unvested or outstanding in Employee's favor. This information is held for the purpose of managing and administering the Plan ("Data").

The Data may be provided by Employee or collected, where lawful, from third parties, and the Company or the Affiliated Employer will process the Data for the exclusive purpose of implementing, administering and managing Employee's participation in the Plan. Data processing will take place through electronic and non-electronic means as necessary to administer the plan and will be handled in conformance with the confidentiality and security provisions as set forth by applicable laws and regulations in Employee's country of residence (and country of employment, if different). The Data will be accessible within the Company's organization only by those persons requiring access for purposes of the implementation, administration and operation of the Plan and for Employee's participation in the Plan.

The Company and the Affiliated Employer may transfer Data amongst themselves as necessary for the purpose of implementation, administration and management of Employee's participation in the Plan, and the Company and the Affiliated Employer may each further transfer Data to any third parties assisting the Company in the

implementation, administration and management of the Plan. Please note these entities may be located in the European Economic Area, the United States or elsewhere in the world. Employee hereby authorizes (where required under applicable law) these parties to receive, possess, use, retain and transfer the Data, in electronic or other form, for purposes of implementing, administering and managing Employee's participation in the Plan. This includes any requisite transfer of such Data as may be required for the administration of the Plan and/or the subsequent holding of shares of Common Stock on Employee's behalf to a broker or other third party with whom Employee may elect to deposit any shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to the Plan.

Employee may, at any time, exercise Employee's rights provided under applicable personal data protection laws. These rights may include (a) obtain confirmation as to the existence of the Data, (b) verify the content, origin and accuracy of the Data, (c) request the integration, update, amendment, deletion, or blockage of the Data, (d) oppose, for legal reasons, the collection, processing or transfer of the Data which is not necessary or required for the implementation, administration and/or operation of the Plan and Employee's participation in the Plan, and (e) withdraw Employee's consent to the collection, processing or transfer of Data as provided hereunder (in which case, Employee's Award will be null and void). Employee may seek to exercise these rights by contacting the Employee's local Human Resources manager or the Company's Human Resources Department.

#### 13. Consent to On-Line Grant and Acceptance.

Employee acknowledges and agrees that, as a term of this grant of Units, any grant, communication, or acceptance of such grant, if applicable, is permitted to be made and processed through the online system operated and maintained for this purpose. Employee further acknowledges and agrees that execution of any documents through such system shall have the same force and effect as if executed in writing.

#### 14. Section 409A.

To the extent the Company determines that this Agreement is subject to Code section 409A, but does not conform with the requirements of Code section 409A the Company may at its sole discretion amend or replace the Agreement to cause the Agreement to comply with Code section 409A. The Agreement shall be construed and administered consistent with Code section 409A or an exemption from Code section 409A.

#### 15. Miscellaneous.

(a) All amounts granted under this Agreement shall continue for all purposes to be a part of the general assets of the Company. The Employee's interest in the amount ultimately determined to be earned shall make the Employee only a general, unsecured creditor of the Company.

(b) The parties agree to execute such further instruments and to take such action as may reasonably be necessary to carry out the intent of this Agreement.

---

(c) Any notice required or permitted hereunder that is not covered by section 13 above, shall be given in writing and shall be deemed effectively given upon delivery to the Employee at the address then on file with the Company or upon delivery to the Company at 2000 Purchase Street, Purchase, New York 10577, Attn: Group Head, Global Rewards.

(d) Neither the Plan nor this Agreement nor any provisions under either shall be construed so as to grant the Employee any right to remain in the employ of the Company.

(e) This Agreement, along with the incorporated grant letter, constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof.

By /s/  
Name:  
Title:

## DESCRIPTION OF EMPLOYMENT ARRANGEMENT WITH WALTER MACNEE

\* Explanatory Note: The description set forth below summarizes the employment arrangement between MasterCard International Incorporated and Walter Macnee, who is identified as a named executive officer in MasterCard Incorporated's Proxy Statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement"). The below description is consistent with both: (1) the disclosure summarizing Mr. Macnee's employment arrangement in the Proxy Statement and (2) the descriptions of each of the MasterCard International Incorporated Severance Plan and the MasterCard International Incorporated Change in Control Plan set forth in MasterCard Incorporated's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on July 31, 2009.

Walter Macnee is President, International Markets of MasterCard International Incorporated ("MasterCard International").

### **Term.**

Mr. Macnee is employed at will by MasterCard International.

### **Compensation.**

Mr. Macnee receives a base salary and is eligible to participate in the MasterCard Incorporated 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") and in MasterCard Incorporated or MasterCard International's employee compensation and benefit programs as may be generally made available to other employees of the Company or MasterCard International at Mr. Macnee's level, including MasterCard Incorporated's Senior Executive Annual Incentive Compensation Plan (the "SEAICP").

### **Termination of Employment.**

Upon termination of his employment, Mr. Macnee will receive payments pursuant to the MasterCard International Incorporated Executive Severance Plan (the "Executive Severance Plan") and the MasterCard International Incorporated Change in Control Severance Plan (the "CIC Plan").

### **Termination Payments.**

*Death* . In the event of Mr. Macnee's death, his estate and/or beneficiaries are entitled to a lump sum payment within 30 days following the date of termination of: (1) base salary earned but not paid through the date of his death; (2) payment for all accrued but unused vacation time; (3) the target annual incentive bonus payable for the year in which death occurs, and the prior year if not already paid; and (4) such additional benefits, if any, he may be entitled to under MasterCard International's plans and programs on account of death.

*Disability* . In the event of Mr. Macnee's termination of employment on account of disability, he will be entitled to receive the same payments as noted above in the event of his death, except that his target annual incentive bonus will be pro-rated for the year of his termination.

*For Cause or Voluntary Resignation* . If MasterCard International terminates Mr. Macnee's employment for "Cause" (as defined in the Executive Severance Plan and described below) or Mr.

Macnee voluntarily resigns other than with Good Reason, he will be entitled to, within 30 days of the date of termination: (1) a payment with respect to base salary earned but not paid through the date of his termination, (2) payment for all accrued but unused vacation time and (3) additional benefits, if any, that he would be entitled to under MasterCard International's plans and programs on account of termination for Cause or his voluntary resignation other than with Good Reason.

*Without Cause or With Good Reason* . In the event of Mr. Macnee's termination by MasterCard International without Cause or by Mr. Macnee with "Good Reason" (as defined in the Executive Severance Plan and described below), he will be entitled to (in addition to any severance payments described below): (1) a lump sum within 30 days following the date of termination of all base salary earned but not paid prior to the date of termination; (2) a lump sum within 30 days following the date of termination equal to all accrued but unused vacation time; and (3) a pro-rata portion of the annual incentive bonus payable for the year in which his termination occurs and the prior year, if not already paid, based upon the actual performance of MasterCard International for the applicable performance period as determined by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee (the "Compensation Committee") of the Board of Directors of MasterCard Incorporated and payable in accordance with the regular bonus pay practices of MasterCard International.

*Mandatory Retirement* . In the event Mr. Macnee's employment ends upon mandatory retirement (that is, the last day of the calendar year in which he attains the age of 65), he will be entitled to receive the same payments as noted above in the event of his death, except that his annual incentive bonus will be pro-rated for the year in which his termination occurs, and will be based upon the actual performance of MasterCard International for the applicable performance period (and taking into account the terms of the annual incentive plan, including but not limited to the discretion of the Compensation Committee to reduce the bonus amount).

*Severance Payments Under the Executive Severance Plan* . In addition to any payments described above, in the event of Mr. Macnee's termination either by MasterCard International without Cause or by Mr. Macnee for Good Reason, and in each case unless otherwise disqualified as described below, Mr. Macnee will be entitled to:

- base salary continuation for 18 months (and, in MasterCard International's sole discretion, up to an additional 6 months) following the date of termination;
- an amount equal to 1.5 times the annual incentive bonus paid to the executive for the year prior to the year during which termination occurs, payable ratably over an 18-month period in accordance with the annual incentive bonus pay practices of MasterCard International (or, at MasterCard International's discretion, an amount equal to up to 2 times the bonus for the prior year, payable over up to 24 months);
- if Mr. Macnee is eligible for the MasterCard Retiree Health Plan, the full cost of the retiree health coverage for 18 months, and thereafter the retiree contribution levels shall apply;
- reasonable outplacement services for the shorter of 18 months or the period of unemployment; and
- such additional benefits, if any, that Mr. Macnee would be entitled to under MasterCard International's plans and programs for the above captioned events of termination (other than any severance payments payable under the terms of any benefit plan).

Under the Executive Severance Plan, Mr. Macnee is only entitled to receive severance payments in the events described above, and would not be entitled to receive such severance payments in the event

of termination of employment with MasterCard International due to (1) death, (2) disability, (3) voluntary resignation for any reason other than for Good Reason or mandatory retirement or (4) termination for Cause, or in the event that he fails to give notice of termination for Good Reason within 60 days of the events constituting Good Reason.

MasterCard International's obligation to make the severance payments described in the first four bullets above is conditioned upon Mr. Macnee's execution of a separation agreement and release, within 60 days following the date of termination, of all claims related to his employment or the termination of such employment. Such an agreement would include non-competition and non-solicitation restrictions for an 18-month period (or for the length of the severance payments, if longer as described above).

*CIC Payments Under the CIC Plan* . In the event that, within six months preceding or two years following a Change-in-Control (as determined in the CIC Plan and as described below), Mr. Macnee either: (1) is terminated by the MasterCard International or MasterCard International's successor without "Cause" (as defined in the CIC Plan and described below) or (2) terminates his employment with MasterCard International or MasterCard International's successor for "Good Reason" (as defined in the CIC Plan and described below), and in each case unless otherwise ineligible as described below, Mr. Macnee will be entitled to:

- a lump sum within 30 days following the date of termination of all base salary earned but not paid prior to the date of termination;
- a lump sum within 30 days following the date of termination equal to all accrued but unused vacation time;
- a pro-rata portion of the annual incentive bonus payable for the year in which his termination occurs and the prior year, if not already paid, based upon the actual performance of MasterCard International for the applicable performance period as determined by the Compensation Committee and payable in accordance with the regular bonus pay practices of MasterCard International;
- base salary continuation for 24 months following the date of termination;
- annual bonus payments following the date of termination with the aggregate bonus amount for Mr. Macnee equivalent to the average annual bonus received by him with respect to the prior two years of employment, payable ratably over a 24-month period in accordance with the regular payroll practices and annual incentive bonus pay practices of MasterCard International;
- if he is eligible for the MasterCard Retiree Health Plan, the full cost of the retiree health coverage for 24 months and thereafter the retiree contribution levels shall apply;
- reasonable outplacement services for the shorter of 24 months or the period of unemployment; and
- such additional benefits, if any that Mr. Macnee would be entitled to under MasterCard International's plans and programs for the above captioned events of termination (other than any severance payments payable under the terms of any benefit plan).

Mr. Macnee is only entitled to receive Change-in-Control payments in the events described above, and would not be entitled to receive such payments in the event of termination of employment with the MasterCard International or MasterCard International's successor due to: (1) death, (2) disability,

(3) voluntary resignation for any reason other than for Good Reason or (4) termination for Cause at any time preceding or following a Change-in-Control, or in the event that he fails to give notice of termination for Good Reason within 60 days of the events constituting Good Reason. The CIC Plan expressly provides that a Change-in-Control alone, without a related termination of employment, will in no event give rise to any Change-in-Control payments or benefits under the CIC Plan.

MasterCard International's obligation to make the Change-in-Control payments described above in the fourth through seventh bullets above is conditioned upon Mr. Macnee's execution of a separation agreement and release, within 60 days following the date of termination, of all claims to his employment or the termination of such employment, which would include a two-year non-competition restriction and a two-year non-solicitation restriction.

*Particular Definitions in Executive Severance Plan or CIC Plan.*

Each of the Executive Severance Plan and the CIC Plan defines "Cause" to generally mean: (a) the willful failure by the executive to perform his or her duties or responsibilities (other than due to disability); (b) engaging in serious misconduct that is injurious to MasterCard International including, but not limited to, damage to its reputation or standing in its industry; (c) having been convicted of, or entered a plea of guilty or *nolo contendere* to, a crime that constitutes a felony or a crime that constitutes a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude; (d) the material breach of any written covenant or agreement with MasterCard International not to disclose any information pertaining to MasterCard International; or (e) the breach of MasterCard International's code of conduct, the supplemental code of ethics or any material provision of specified MasterCard International policies.

"Change-in-Control" for purposes of the CIC Plan has the meaning as set forth in the LTIP. Accordingly, it generally means the occurrence of any of the following events (other than by means of a public offering of MasterCard Incorporated's equity securities):

(a) The acquisition by any person of beneficial ownership of more than 30 percent of the voting power of the then outstanding equity securities of the Company (the "Outstanding Registrant Voting Securities"), subject to certain exceptions; or

(b) A change in the composition of the Board of Directors of the Company that causes less than a majority of the directors of the Company then in office to be members of the Board, subject to certain exceptions; or

(c) Consummation of a reorganization, merger, or consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company or the purchase of assets or stock of another entity (a "Business Combination"), in each case, unless immediately following such Business Combination, (1) all or substantially all of the persons who were the beneficial owners of the Outstanding Registrant Voting Securities immediately prior to such Business Combination will beneficially own more than 50 percent of the then outstanding voting power of the then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors of the entity resulting from such Business Combination in substantially the same proportions as their ownership, immediately prior to such Business Combination of the Outstanding Registrant Voting Securities, (2) no person will beneficially own more than a majority of the voting power of the then outstanding voting securities of such entity except to the extent that such ownership of the Company existed prior to the Business Combination, and (3) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the entity resulting from such Business Combination will have been members of the incumbent Board of the Company at the time of the initial agreement, or action of the Board of the Company, providing for such Business Combination; or

---

(d) Approval by the stockholders of the Company of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

“Good Reason” for the purpose of each of the Executive Severance Plan and the CIC Plan generally means: (a) the assignment to a position for which the executive is not qualified or a materially lesser position than the position held by the executive; (b) a material reduction in the executive’s annual base salary other than a 10 percent or less reduction, in the aggregate, over the term of employment; or (c) the relocation of the executive’s principal place of employment by more than 50 miles.

**Restrictive Agreements.**

In addition to agreements Mr. Macnee would enter in order to be eligible to receive the payments described above, Mr. Macnee has entered into an agreement providing for restrictions with respect to non-competition and non-solicitation of MasterCard International’s employees, customers or suppliers for 12 months following termination.



**MASTERCARD INCORPORATED**  
**COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES**  
(In millions, except ratios)

	Three Months	Year Ended December 31,				
	Ended March 31, 2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
		(in millions, except ratios)				
Pre-tax income (loss) before adjustment for non-controlling interests	\$ 836	\$2,757	\$2,218	\$(383)	\$1,671	\$294
Loss attributable to non-controlling interests	3	1	3	2	1	(1)
Add: Fixed charges	11	56	120	109	62	65
Earnings	<u>\$ 850</u>	<u>\$2,814</u>	<u>\$2,341</u>	<u>\$(272)</u>	<u>\$1,734</u>	<u>\$358</u>
Fixed charges:						
Interest expense	\$ 10	\$ 52	\$ 115	\$ 104	\$ 57	\$ 61
Portion of rental expense under operating leases deemed to be the equivalent of interest <sup>1</sup>	1	4	5	5	5	4
Total fixed charges	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>\$ 56</u>	<u>\$ 120</u>	<u>\$ 109</u>	<u>\$ 62</u>	<u>\$ 65</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	<u>77.3</u>	<u>50.3</u>	<u>19.5</u>	<u>—</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>28.0</u>	<u>5.5</u>

<sup>1</sup> Portion of rental expenses under operating leases deemed to be the equivalent of interest at an appropriate interest factor.

<sup>2</sup> The ratio coverage was less than 1:1 MasterCard would have needed to generate additional earnings of \$381 to achieve a coverage of 1:1 in 2008.

May 3, 2011

Securities and Exchange Commission  
100 F Street, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20549

Commissioners:

We are aware that our report dated May 3, 2011 on our review of unaudited interim financial information of MasterCard Incorporated and its subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of March 31, 2011 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and included in the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 is incorporated by reference in the Company's Registration Statements on Form S-8 (dated June 30, 2006 (File No. 333-135572), August 9, 2006 (File No. 333-136460) and June 15, 2007 (File No. 333-143777)) and the Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated November 4, 2009 (No. 333-162869).

Very truly yours,

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a),  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302  
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Ajay Banga, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of MasterCard Incorporated for the three months ended March 31, 2011;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 3, 2011

By: /s/ Ajay Banga

Ajay Banga  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a),  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302  
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Martina Hund-Mejean, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of MasterCard Incorporated for the three months ended March 31, 2011;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 3, 2011

By: /s/ Martina Hund-Mejean

Martina Hund-Mejean  
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906  
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report of MasterCard Incorporated (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Ajay Banga, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 3, 2011

/s/ Ajay Banga

Ajay Banga

President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906  
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report of MasterCard Incorporated (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Martina Hund-Mejean, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 3, 2011

/s/ Martina Hund-Mejean

\_\_\_\_\_  
Martina Hund-Mejean  
Chief Financial Officer